

Solicitors' Journal.

LONDON, APRIL 10, 1880.

CONTENTS.

CURRENT TOPICS:—	
Legal Members of Parliament	435
Members Elected for Two Places	435
Judges' Chambers	435
Bills of Sale	435
The Law Lords	435
Beer Dealers' Licences	436
Office Hours	436
The New Probate Account	436
Writs of Injunction	436
LEADERS:—	
Injunctions to Restrain Statements as to Former Employment ..	437
The Contract to pay Interest on Purchase-money	438
Lawyers in Parliament	439
GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE	
CASES OF THE WEEK:—	
Artistic Colour Printing Company (Limited), In re	441
Harding v. Williams	441
Baker v. Baker, Wheeler v. Owen	441
APPOINTMENTS, ETC.	
SOCIETIES	442
LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL	444
The New Probate and Administration Duties	444
CREDITORS' CLAIMS	445
NEW ORDERS, &c.	446
COURT PAPERS	446
LONDON GAZETTES, &c., &c.	453

CASES REPORTED IN THE WEEKLY REPORTER.

Banco de Portugal v. Waddell (H.L.)	477
Beardsworth and Moore's Contract, In re (Ch.Div. M.R.)	485
Butcher, Ex parte, In re Mellor (App.)	484
Campbell, In re (Lun.)	480
Capital and Counties' Bank, The, v. Henty & Sons (Q.B.Div.)	490
De Morgan, Appellant, v. Metropolitan Board of Works, Respondents (Q.B.Div.)	489
Faircloth, In re (Lun.)	481
Golding Davis & Co. (Limited), Ex parte, In re Knight & Son (App.)	481
Lomax's Arbitration, In re (Ch.Div. M.R.)	485
Mapleson v. Masini (Q.B.Div.)	488
Mogridge v. Hall, Llanover v. Homiray, Phillips v. Llanover (Ch.Div. V.C.H.)	487
Trumble v. Hill (P.O.)	479
Watney & Co. v. Masgrave (Exch.Div.)	491

CURRENT TOPICS.

UP TO WEDNESDAY LAST no fewer than eighty-two English barristers and ten English solicitors had been elected members of the new Parliament.

BY A LONG ESTABLISHED RULE of the House of Commons, Mr. Gladstone, upon his return both for Leeds and Midlothian, becomes entitled to elect for which of those places he will serve. It is worth while to notice that this privilege is confined to the case of a general election; in other cases, a member returned for one place is ineligible for another. Thus, in 1782, on the change of administration, a great many writs were moved for at the same time for several places, and Lord Althorpe was elected for Northampton on April 4, and for the county of Surrey on April 10. In the interval between the two elections, the question was raised whether he ought not to accept an office under the Crown, so as to vacate his seat for Northampton. The Speaker ruled that he ought, and Lord Althorpe accordingly accepted the Chiltern Hundreds before his election for Surrey.

IT HAS BEEN found impossible to complete the rooms in the Royal Courts of Justice intended for the judges' chambers so as to allow of business being commenced

in them at the opening of the present sittings; but no long time is likely to elapse before they are occupied. The rooms are situated at the end of the Masters' Corridor on the first floor, and open out of a spacious hall, which will serve as a waiting-room. Two doors give admission to a lobby, on either side of which are the judges' rooms, and at the end of it the bar room. This is one of the features of the building, and the decorations are very elaborate and effective. The improved accommodation will, for the first time, enable a fair trial to be made of the regulations introduced some time ago for promoting greater order in the conduct of business at judges' chambers.

ONE OF THE NEW RULES OF COURT provides for the "prescribed evidence," required by section 15 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878, that the debt (if any) for which the bill of sale was given has been satisfied before the memorandum of satisfaction is ordered to be written upon the registered copy of the bill of sale. The evidence is to be a consent signed by the person entitled to the benefit of the bill of sale and verified by affidavit. Our own impression (and this we have reason to believe is shared by authorities intimately connected with the office) is that the affidavit of a solicitor ought to have been required for this purpose. As the rule now stands there is no guarantee of the responsibility of the verifier. Another rule, to which we have before referred, will, we apprehend, be fatal to the enterprise so long carried on of the publication of a list of the names of persons giving bills of sale. It is now provided that "a person shall not inspect nor take any extract from any of these registers or indexes, or any document filed in connection therewith, until he has specified in writing to the officer in charge of the register or index the name against which he wishes to search, and has satisfied the officer as to the object of the search." It appears to us that this result is very satisfactory. If any such list should be published at all, it ought to be published by public authority.

THE LAW LORDS have scorned to avail themselves of the opportunities for leisure afforded to them by a dissolution of Parliament and a ministerial crisis, and will resume their appellate sittings on Thursday next. This course has been adopted under the powers conferred by the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, 1876, the 9th section of which enacts that "if on the occasion of a dissolution of Parliament her Majesty is graciously pleased to think that it would be expedient, with a view to prevent delay in the administration of justice, to provide for the hearing and determination of appeals during such dissolution, it shall be lawful for her Majesty, by writing under her sign manual, to authorize the Lords of Appeal in the name of the House of Lords to hear and determine appeals during the dissolution of Parliament, and for that purpose to sit in the House of Lords at such times as may be thought expedient; and upon such authority as aforesaid being given by her Majesty, the Lords of Appeal may, during such dissolution, hear and determine appeals, and act in all matters relating thereto in the same manner in all respects as if their sittings were a continuation of the sittings of the House of Lords, and may, in the name of the House of Lords, exercise the jurisdiction of the House of Lords accordingly." If her Majesty had not been "graciously pleased to think" it expedient to have appeals heard during the dissolution, there would probably have been scarcely any appellate business transacted between Easter and Whitsuntide. There appears to have been at one time some doubt whether a dissolution, or even a prorogation, did not put an end to pending judicial proceedings in the House, and render it necessary to commence them *de novo*. In 1873, a Committee of Privileges produced several precedents, including many cases where a *scire facias* had been made "returnable the next Parliament," and they

"declared their opinion that businesses depending in one Parliament or session of Parliament have been continued to the next session of the same Parliament, and the proceedings, thereupon, have remained in the same state in which they were left when last in agitation." This report was approved by the House, and all judicial proceedings now survive both from session to session and from Parliament to Parliament.

THE CURIOUS STATUTE, passed in the recent session, and entitled An Act for amending the Law relating to the grant by Justices of Certificates for Beer Dealers' Retail Licences, will satisfy both publicans and teetotalers, inasmuch as while increasing the value of the publican's monopoly, it decreases the facilities for obtaining liquor. By the Act 26 & 27 Vict. c. 33, it had been provided that the holder of a strong beer dealer's wholesale excise licence might, without prior resort to the justices of the peace, take out an "additional licence for sale of beer by retail for consumption off the premises." The Wine and Beerhouse Act, 1869, s. 8, made the obtaining a certificate from justices a condition precedent to getting such licence (amongst others) from the excise, but softened down this restriction by the enactment that justices should not refuse the certificate except upon one of four specified grounds, being (1) that the applicant was of bad character; (2) that the applicant's house was of bad character; (3) that a prior licence held by the applicant had been forfeited; and (4) that the applicant or his house "was not duly qualified as by law required." The present statute repeals section 8 of the Act of 1869, "as far as the qualification therein contained relates to grants of certificates" for the additional beer licence, and adds that, "the licensing justices shall be at liberty either to refuse such certificates as aforesaid on any grounds appearing to them, in the exercise of their discretion, sufficient, or to grant the same to such persons as they, in the exercise of their discretion, and in the exercise of their statutory powers, deem fit and proper." The words "statutory powers" have reference to 9 Geo. 4, c. 61, under section 9 of which it was held in *Reg. v. Lancashire Justices* (L. R. 6 Q. B. 93), that in granting new licences the justices may and ought to consider the number of houses already licensed, and to refuse a license if they think that additional facilities for obtaining liquor are not required in the interests of the public. We doubt very much, although it may probably be contended otherwise, whether the justices will have power to refuse renewals under the new Act. A second section further cuts down the privileges of brewers by repealing the 31st section of the Licensing Act, 1874, which had enacted that these additional licences might be granted at any one of the eight special sessions instead of at the annual licensing meeting.

UNIFORMITY IN OFFICE HOURS, as established by the 53rd of the Rules of the Supreme Court of April, 1880, will save the confusion and delay caused by some offices being closed at an earlier hour in the day than others with which they are in close connection. By the new rule, all the offices of the Supreme Court, with some exceptions to be mentioned presently, are to be open from ten o'clock until four, except on Saturdays and in vacations, when they close at two o'clock. The excepted offices are the Summons and Order, Crown Office, and Associates' Department of the Central Office. In these latter, the office-hours are now from eleven o'clock until five, except on Saturdays and in vacation, when they close at three o'clock. The principal alteration of hours occurs in the Chancery Registrar's office, where the hours were regulated for the convenience of different descriptions of work. Those who came to search the cause-books might do so from nine o'clock to three and from five to six. The office-

hours of the registrars and their clerks began at ten, but solicitors were not admitted except between eleven o'clock and three. In the other offices the only effect of the new rule is to extend the hours in vacation, which were in most cases from eleven o'clock to one.

IT IS PERHAPS WORTH MENTIONING that the Customs and Inland Revenue Act, 1880, while requiring an account of the particulars of the personal estate to be delivered, does not say by whom such account shall be furnished, nor does it require such account to be signed by anyone. The account, however, is to be "in accordance with such form as may be prescribed by the Treasury; and the form of account prescribed by the Treasury contains a blank for a signature and address, but does not state that the person signing shall be the person applying for probate or letters of administration. It can hardly be intended, but it would seem that, although the account must be signed by someone, it need not necessarily be signed by the person making application for probate or letters.

RULE 31 of the new Rules of the Supreme Court will effect some saving both of trouble and doubt to solicitors, and expense to suitors. It puts an end to the issue for the future of writs of injunction, and provides that the restraining order shall have the effect of an injunction. Under the former practice doubts occasionally arose whether it was essential to the enforcing of the order for an injunction that the writ of injunction should be issued, although, in the majority of cases, notice of the order proved sufficient. It is presumed that in future the form of restraining order will be: "Let the defendant be restrained by injunction from, &c."

A Vienna legal journal states that the question of increased restrictions on admission to practise as attorneys is being much discussed among the Austrian lawyers.

At the Bradford County Court, on Friday, Mr. Daniel, J.C., the judge, took his seat after an absence of six weeks in consequence of illness. Mr. Stansfield, barrister-at-law, and Mr. T. A. Watson, the senior solicitor present, congratulated his Honour. The latter said: On behalf of my professional brethren, I beg to say how much we are delighted to see your Honour on that seat once more. It would not be becoming in me to say anything as to your distinguished learning and ability, but I do think that I am expressing the feelings of regard and affection which animate my friends. The courtesy and kindness which your Honour has extended to us have inspired us with the hope that you will long be spared to discharge the important duties of your office. His Honour, in reply, said: It is satisfactory to me to believe that I return to my duties with the approval of the gentlemen who practise before me. I should not have been absent except from absolute necessity. I may have exaggerated the importance of the office which I hold, but considering that that office is intended for the administration of justice amongst that class of her Majesty's subjects who are to a great extent beyond the reach of that administration which obtains for the rich in the higher courts, it has ever been my desire so to conduct the business of this court—assisted as I hoped I should be, and as I have found I always have been, by those who practise before me—to produce in the minds of those whose misfortune it is to become suitors in a court of justice the assurance that justice would be administered impartially, and not only impartially but, I trust, with some degree of care in the application of the law, which is alike for the protection of the poor as well as of the rich. As I said before, I have not been absent except from absolute necessity, and if from any other such necessity I should again find myself unable to discharge the duties of my office in the manner in which I think those duties should be discharged, and which the public have a right to require, I have a course open to me which, as an honest man, I shall take. I thank you for your kind expressions.

INJUNCTIONS TO RESTRAIN STATEMENTS AS TO FORMER EMPLOYMENT.

I.

THERE is no obscurity or ambiguity with respect to the general principle by which the issue of these injunctions is regulated. It is clearly stated by the late Vice-Chancellor Kindersley in the important case of *Glenny v. Smith* (2 Drew. & Sm. 476). "There is no question," he says, "but that if a man, having been in the employment of a firm of reputation, sets up in business for himself, he has a right in any way in which he thinks fit, provided it is done in accordance with the rule I have stated (i.e., so as not to deceive), to inform the public that he has been in such employment, and in that way to appropriate to himself some of the benefit arising from the reputation of his former employers. But in so doing he must take special care that it is done in such a way as not to mislead the public to the detriment of his former employers." And in the same way a former member of a partnership firm is entitled to make a fair use of that fact, for "has not the defendant," said Lord Romilly, in *Clark v. Leach* (32 Beav. 14-18), speaking of a person in that position, "has not the defendant a right to say that he lately belonged to a certain firm, and cannot he advertise that fact? The difficulty is, if he cannot be prevented from carrying on the same business, is he not at liberty to solicit the public at large, and to do so by telling, as is the truth, that he belonged to a late firm?" The principle, then, is clear, but it is in the application of it that difficulties arise, and it may be useful to examine the cases in which it has been sought, with varying success, to restrain allusions to former employment.

But, before doing so, there is another rule clearly stated in the same case of *Glenny v. Smith* to which it will be well to advert—viz., that "it does not signify, for the purpose of the plaintiff's right to relief, whether the defendant has acted with a fraudulent intention or not; it is enough if, even without any unfair intention, he has done that which is calculated to mislead the public. . . . And it is not the question whether the public generally, or even a majority of the public, is likely to be misled, but whether the unwary, the heedless, the incautious portion of the public would be likely to be misled." And in *Colton v. Thomas* (7 Phila. 257) much the same doctrine was laid down in the Philadelphia Court of Common Pleas, where it was said that "an imitation with partial difference, such as the public would not observe, does as much harm as an entire counterpart. If such variations impose on a portion or class of customers only, it is evident that the damage is of the same character, though varied in amount or degree."

The first reported case which appears to be connected with this subject is the Irish case of *Foot v. Lea* (13 Ir. Eq. 484), in which an *ex-employé* of a firm of Dublin snuff manufacturers, trading as Lundy Foot & Co., styled himself on his packets of snuff, and on the board above his door, as "A. Lea, late of Lundy Foot & Co." The question at issue was not, indeed, decided by the Master of the Rolls, he being of opinion that the question must be decided by a court of law, involving, as he thought it did, a legal right. It may, however, be noticed that in this case the words "late of" were inscribed in very small letters, an example which has often been followed in later years, though exposing the motives of those who have adopted it to considerable suspicion.

In *Burgess v. Burgess* (3 De G. M. & G. 896), among the circumstances of which the plaintiff complained was this, that the defendant, his son, and who had been in his employment, had styled himself on his shopfront and labels as "late of 107, Strand," that being the plaintiff's address. Vice-Chancellor Kindersley, when the case was brought before him on motion, thought that in this particular at least he was warranted in at once restrain-

ing the defendant from the representation he was making, and this representation, therefore, did not form one of the matters brought before the Court of Appeal by the plaintiff, and was not adverted to by Lord Justice Knight Bruce in the well-known judgment in which he so emphatically enunciated the right of the British public to engage in the sale of fish-sauce.

The next case is *Edelsten v. Vick* (11 Hare. 78) where the defendants were committing a palpable fraud, by selling pins in packets similarly made up and labelled to the plaintiff's, except that to the inscription "Taylor & Co.'s Patent Solid-Headed Pins," they prefixed the words "J. Vick, from the late," and an injunction was granted by Lord Hatherley, then Vice-Chancellor, on motion, notwithstanding an attempt to meet the plaintiff's attack by arguing that they were disentitled by the appearance of the word "Patent" in their inscription after their patent had in fact expired.

In *Burrows v. Foster* (1 N. R. 156), it had been agreed by deed that two partnership firms should be dissolved, and their estates liquidated, and that the plaintiff, who was to be one of the liquidators, should have the benefit and advantage of the business and connections of the former firms, and should be at liberty to carry on the businesses in a new partnership with another person. The defendant, however, who had been a partner in one of the dissolved firms, sent round circulars to the connections of his old firm, referring to his old position in it and to the dissolution, and asking for orders for a business which he was intending to carry on in continuance of the old one. An injunction was granted to restrain the defendant from issuing such circulars representing that he was trading in continuance of the old business, and from soliciting the customers of his old firm. Here the question turned mainly upon the agreement by which the defendant was bound, but, at the same time, it does not appear probable that a perfectly fair reference to his former position in business, not specially addressed to the connections of his old firm, would have been held to constitute a deviation from his obligations under the instrument.

Reference has already been made to *Clark v. Leach*. The question there was whether, after the expiration of the term of seven years for which the partnership was formed, a clause in the partnership articles providing that in certain events a partner receiving from the other notice of the termination of the partnership should be considered as quitting the business for the benefit of the partner giving the notice, continued in force. The partner to whom such notice was given, after the term had expired, set up in business in the neighbourhood as "R. Leach & Co., late Leach & Clark," and the court held that he was justified in so doing, and that the articles did not apply in this respect, the circumstances having altered.

Harper v. Pearson (3 L. T. N. S. 547) and *Scott v. Scott* (16 Ib. 143) stand on a different footing. In the former case the defendants had leased certain firebrick works in succession to the plaintiffs, Messrs. Harper & Moore, but not the mines from which the clay was obtained, and described themselves on cards and circulars as "E. & J. Pearson (late Harpers & Moore)." In the latter case the defendants were the occupiers of certain business premises previously occupied by Messrs. Robert & Walter Scott, having been placed in possession by one of the partners, R. Scott, who had purchased his co-partner's interest therein. The defendants styled themselves on a door-plate as "Scott & Nixon, late Robert & Walter Scott," although R. Scott had agreed with his former partner that neither should use the old name except for winding up the affairs of the partnership. In each of these cases the effect of the defendants' conduct was to imply, not only that they had succeeded to the goodwill of the business, but that their predecessors had retired from the trade, and neither case turned upon the defendants having been members or employes of the former firm of whose reputation they

were desirous of availing themselves. An injunction was granted by Lord Hatherley in each case.

In *The Leather Cloth Company v. The American Leather Cloth Company*, the same learned judge (1 H. & M. 271-279) came to the conclusion that persons who had been in the employment of Crockett, the original manufacturer of Crockett's leather cloth, were justified in styling themselves "late with Crockett" in such a manner as not to produce deception. The point did not come up again on the appeal to the Lord Chancellor and the House of Lords.

THE CONTRACT TO PAY INTEREST ON PURCHASE-MONEY.

II.

THE final and most important matter for consideration is the nature of the conduct by which the vendor may disqualify himself from claiming interest under the condition. The effect of *De Visne v. De Visne* (1 MacN. & G. 336), is that delay in showing a good title is such conduct; and the validity of that rule was recognized in the four cases last cited, and has been acknowledged in others where it has been held to be inapplicable (*Tewart v. Lawson*, 3 Sm. & G. 307). Against that we have Lord St. Leonards' authority that a "delay occasioned by the state of the title, and not wilful," is not such conduct—it is precisely such delay that is contemplated by the words providing for delay from any cause whatever. And this is undoubtedly now the rule, as appears from the cases to be referred to directly. But the question is, What is to be the presumption as to the vendor's wilfulness? Lord Romilly (17 Beav. 273) pointed out the difficulty of deciding whether the delay is wilful or not. "Suppose," he said, "the difficulty arose from the name of a person being incorrectly stated in a certificate of burial or baptism; that might occasion delay. But how is the court to ascertain that the vendor did not know of that error at the time he furnished the certificate to the purchaser? If he did know it, he ought not to have the benefit of the increased amount of interest which would arise from the delay occasioned by the fresh requisitions of the purchaser in consequence of that defect. So, also, if there were a defect with respect to the identity of the parcels or other like matters, it would be almost impossible for the court to ascertain whether the vendor really knew of the defect at the time when the information was afforded. If it does clearly appear from other sources of information, and is incontestably proved, that it was a mere case of accident, or a thing that the vendor could not have guarded against, I should be of opinion that the vendor would be entitled to the increased amount of interest which would arise from that circumstance." His lordship thus said, in effect, that the presumption must be against the vendor. This seems obvious enough; the vendor has a duty as distinct as is the purchaser's; and when it is not performed it is surely no hardship to say that he, and not the purchaser, must suffer for the default, unless it is clear that he could not help it. If we say that when the vendor's failure to deliver a perfect abstract or to make out his title by proper proofs is due to circumstances which upon the face of them are clearly out of his control, or which he is able to prove that he could not reasonably be expected to avoid, and consider that it is to these circumstances that the words *any cause whatever* relate, we have a clear and intelligible rule; and therewith we might explain such decisions as those in *Bannerman v. Clarke* (3 Dr. 632), where the vendor died, and *Williams v. Glenton* (L. R. 1 Ch. 200), where the delay was due to an adverse claim of which the vendor was not aware at the time of the contract. But, unfortunately, in the only other appeal case which can be referred to (*Sherwin v. Shakespeare*, 5 De G. M. & G. 527), although the judgment given was that interest should be paid

only from a date at such a period after the showing of a good title as would allow a reasonable time for completion, Lord Justice Knight Bruce made some observations which have been accepted in practice as putting the law upon a different footing—namely, that it is necessary for the purchaser to show "vexatious conduct, dealing in bad faith, or gross negligence" on the part of the vendor; and the same judge repeated his opinion that this was the settled law in *Williams v. Glenton*, a case in which, as we have already said, such a view was not necessary for the purposes of the decision.

As a consequence of these dicta of Lord Justice Knight Bruce, we find Lord Romilly in *Lord Palmerston v. Turner* (33 Beav. 524) departing from his own previous practice. The vendors there sold under a settlement which, by mistake, expressly required the concurrence in the sale of the owner of the inheritance, instead of that of the tenant for life. As they had only the concurrence of the tenant for life, they had to file a bill to get the mistake in the settlement rectified; but, notwithstanding the manifest negligence of such conduct, the purchaser was made to pay interest during the delay. So, again, in *Vickers v. Hand* (26 Beav. 630) the same judge made the purchaser pay, although he had appropriated his purchase-money, and given notice of the fact; but in that case the difficulty upon the title was of a less easily preventable character. It is apprehended that this last decision is undoubtedly wrong. In spite of the strong views expressed in *Williams v. Glenton*, it was suggested even there that the purchaser might have relieved himself by investing his purchase-money and giving notice; and in no other recent case has the contrary view been expressed (*sed vide Cowpe v. Bakewell*, 13 Beav. 421).

The result of the cases which we have now gone through with reference to the condition binding the purchaser to pay interest in the event of delay in completion appears to us to be as follows:—Lord St. Leonards' maxim that delay occasioned by the state of the title and not wilful does not relieve the purchaser is undoubted law; if the vendor fails in delivering his abstract as agreed upon, or in completing his abstract and making out his title by sufficient proofs before the time fixed for completion, the old practice was to regard this as avoidable, and therefore wilful, default, unless the contrary plainly appeared; the actual decisions upon the facts in the two modern appeal cases (*Sherwin v. Shakespeare* and *Williams v. Glenton*) contain nothing to reverse this practice; the dicta in those cases do, nevertheless, absolutely reverse it, and that those dicta have been followed in at least two reported cases; and, finally, there is nothing but the decision in *Vickers v. Hand* to contradict the view that the purchaser can, in any case, protect himself by investing the purchase-money and giving the vendor notice of the fact.

With regard to the last proposition, to maintain, at least in cases where the vendor's default is avoidable (or rather is not proved to be unavoidable), that the purchaser cannot thus protect himself, is surely to defy justice and common sense. The title is in the hands of the vendor, and it is his express or implied duty to provide for its being made out. On the assumption that it will be made out and the sale completed on the day fixed, the purchaser must have his money ready on that day, or run the risk of an action for specific performance. On what principle can it be contended that the vendor is to be at liberty, after the time when he is aware that the money is idle or comparatively idle, to put the burden of his own avoidable default upon the purchaser? To say that it is upon the ground of express contract is to say that the contract entered into is that the vendor shall make out his title when he pleases or when he can, but that the purchaser shall pay interest and take the rents from the day specified. No purchaser would enter into a contract in such a form, and that is, therefore, not the meaning of the contract. It is useless to assert that the purchaser has

a corresponding benefit in his title to the rents. It is not the rents, but the possession, which in fixing a day for completion the vendor has expressly contracted to give him. There may be no rents. In a case recently before the writer the subject-matter of the purchase was a large and exceedingly valuable waste not producing sixpence. In another case it may be that there are rents, but that they are no compensation at all, as where a contractor buys a suburban agricultural property for development by building, and calls in his money from other business in order to pay for it. If the law is to be taken as it is laid down in *Sherwin v. Shakespeare*, there is no possibility of any approach to the equitable solution of the difficulty, unless it is also held that the purchaser has in this, as in other cases, a right to make the vendor responsible for his own default by appropriating the purchase-money, and paying no more interest than it in fact produces.

LAWYERS IN PARLIAMENT.

The following members of the legal profession had been elected members of the new Parliament up to Thursday morning last. The names of members of the old Parliament are printed in italics:—

ENGLAND AND WALES.

BARRISTERS.

BATH—Mr. Edmund Robert Wodehouse (L)
 BEAUMARIS—Mr. Morgan Lloyd, Q.C. (L)
 BERWICK—Sir Dudley Majoribanks, Bart. (L)
 BODMIN—Hon. Francis Leveson Gower (L)
 BOSTON—Mr. Thomas Garfit (C)
 BRECKNOCK—Mr. Cyril Flower (L)
 BRIDPORT—Mr. Charles Nicholas Warton (C)
 BRIGHTON—Mr. William Thackeray Marriott, Q.C. (L);
 Mr. Edmund William Holland (L)
 BURY ST. EDMUNDS—Mr. Joseph Alfred Hardcastle (L)
 CALNE—Lord Edmond Fitzmaurice (L)
 CAMBRIDGE—Mr. Hugh Shield (L); Mr. William Fowler (L)
 CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY—Right Hon. Spencer Walpole, Q.C. (C)
 CAMBRIDGESHIRE—Mr. Hunter Rodwell, Q.C. (C)
 CANTERBURY—Hon. Alfred Gathorne Hardy (C)
 CARMARTHEN—Mr. Benjamin Thomas Williams, Q.C. (L)
 CARNARVONSHIRE—Mr. Watkin Williams, Q.C. (L)
 CHATHAM—Mr. John Eldon Gorst, Q.C. (C)
 CHELSEA—Sir Charles Dilke, Bart. (L); Mr. Joseph Bottomley Firth (L)
 CHESTER—Right Hon. John George Dodson (L)
 CHRISTCHURCH—Mr. Horace Davey, Q.C. (L)
 COLCHESTER—Mr. William Willis, Q.C. (L)
 COVENTRY—Sir Henry Jackson, Bart., Q.C. (L)
 CUMBERLAND—Mr. D. Ainsworth (L)
 CUMBERLAND, EAST—Mr. Edward Stafford Howard (L)
 DENBIGHSHIRE—Mr. George Osborne Morgan, Q.C. (L)
 DEVONSHIRE, NORTH—Right Hon. Sir Stafford Northcote, Bart. (C)
 DREGBURY—Serjt. John Simon (L)
 DUDLEY—Mr. Henry Brinsley Sheridan (L)
 DURHAM—Mr. Farrer Herschell, Q.C. (L); Mr. Thomas Charles Thompson (L)
 ESSEX, EAST—Mr. James Round (C)
 ESSEX, SOUTH—Mr. William Thomas Makins (C)
 FINSBURY—Mr. William McCullagh Torrens (L)
 GLOUCESTER—Mr. Charles James Monk (L)
 GRANTHAM—Mr. John William Mellor, Q.C. (L); Mr. Charles Saville Roundell (L)
 GREENWICH—Baron Henry De Worms (C)
 HALIFAX—Right Hon. James Stansfield (L)
 HANTS, NORTH—Right Hon. George Sclater Booth (C)
 HANTS, SOUTH—Mr. Francis Compton (C)
 HASTINGS—Mr. Thomas Brassey (L)

HILSTON—Mr. Walter Molesworth St. Aubyn (C)
 HEREFORD—Mr. Robert Threshie Reid (L)
 LANCASHIRE, SOUTH WEST—Right Hon. R. A. Cross (C)
 LAUNCESTON—Sir Hardinge Giffard, Q.C. (C)
 LINCOLN—Mr. John Hinde Palmer, Q.C. (L)
 LINCOLNSHIRE, MID.—Hon. Edward Stanhope (C)
 LISKEARD—Mr. Leonard Henry Courtney (L)
 LONDON UNIVERSITY—Right Hon. Robert Lowe (L)
 LYNN REGIS—Hon. Robert Bourke (C)
 MAIDSTONE—Mr. Alexander Henry Ross (C)
 MARYLEBONE—Sir Thomas Chambers, Q.C. (L)
 MIDHURST—Sir Henry Holland, Bart. (C)
 NEWPORT—Mr. Charles Cavendish Clifford (L)
 OLDHAM—Mr. John Tomlinson Hibbert (L); Hon. Edward Lyulph Stanley (L)
 OXFORD—Sir William Vernon Harcourt, Q.C. (L); Mr. Joseph William Chitty, Q.C. (L)
 OXFORD UNIVERSITY—Right Hon. John Robert Mowbray (C)
 PEMBROKE—Mr. Henry George Allen, Q.C. (L)
 PORTSMOUTH—Hon. Thomas Charles Bruce (C)
 PRESTON—Sir John Holker (C)
 READING—Mr. George Shaw Lefevre (L)
 RICHMOND—Hon. John Charles Dundas (L)
 ROCHESTER—Mr. Arthur Otway (L)
 RYE—Mr. Frederick Andrew Inderwick, Q.C. (L)
 SHEFFIELD—Mr. Charles Stuart Wortley (C)
 SHROPSHIRE, NORTH—Mr. Stanley Leighton (C)
 SOUTHAMPTON—Mr. Charles Parker Butt, Q.C. (L)
 SOUTHWARK—Mr. Arthur Cohen, Q.C. (L)
 STAFFORD—Mr. Charles Benjamin McLaren (L)
 STAFFORDSHIRE, NORTH—Mr. H. T. Davenport (C)
 STAMFORD—Mr. Marston Clarke Buszard, Q.C. (L)
 STOCKPORT—Mr. Charles Henry Hopwood, Q.C. (L)
 TAUNTON—Sir Henry James, Q.C. (L)
 TIVERTON—Right Hon. William Massey (L)
 TOWER HAMLETS—Mr. James Bryce (L)
 WHITEHAVEN—Right Hon. George Cavendish Bentinck (C)
 WOLVERHAMPTON—Right Hon. Charles Pelham Villiers (L)
 WORCESTER—Mr. Aeneas John McIntyre, Q.C. (L)
 YORKSHIRE, WEST RIDING (EAST)—Sir Andrew Fairbairn (L)

SOLICITORS.

BRISTOL—Mr. Lewis Fry (L)
 CHIPPENHAM—Mr. Gabriel Goldney (C)
 COCKERMOUTH—Mr. Edward Waugh (L)
 DOVER—Mr. Charles Kaye Freshfield (C)
 LIVERPOOL—Mr. Edward Whitley (C)
 NORWICH—Mr. Jacob Henry Tillett (L)
 STOCKTON—Mr. Joseph Dodds (L)
 WOLVERHAMPTON—Mr. Henry Hartley Fowler (L)
 YORK—Mr. James Johnson Leeman (L)

IRELAND.

BARRISTERS.

DUBLIN UNIVERSITY—Right Hon. Edward Gibson, Q.C. (C); Right Hon. David Plunket, Q.C. (C)
 DUNDALK—Mr. Charles Russell, Q.C. (L)
 KERRY—Mr. Rowland Ponsonby Blennerhassett (HR)
 KILDARE—Mr. Charles Henry Meldon, Q.C. (HR)
 MALLOW—Mr. William McCor Johnson, Q.C. (L)
 ROSCOMMON—Mr. Andrew Commins (HR)
 YOUGHALL—Sir John McKenna (HR)

SOLICITOR.

LONDONDERRY—Mr. Charles Edward Lewis (C)

SCOTLAND.

BARRISTERS AND ADVOCATES.

ABERDEEN—Mr. J. Webster (L)
 CLACKMANNAN—Right Hon. William Patrick Adam (L)
 KIRKCALDY—Sir George Campbell (L)
 WIGTOWN—Mr. John McLaren (L)

SOLICITOR.

INVERNESS—Mr. Charles Fraser Macintosh (L)

General Correspondence.

PERPETUITIES.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—The recent decision in *Miles v. Harford* (L. R. 12 C. D. 702-3), which seems to me to conflict with that of the House of Lords in *Banks v. Holmes* (Sugd., H. L. 351, 1 Russ. 304n.), is one of very wide applicability in the law of perpetuity. We are all aware, from our elementary text-books, that a limitation, depending on a contingency which possibly may happen after the lapse of the perpetuity period, is void even though the contingency actually does happen within that period; but the court, in *Miles v. Harford*, has taken this distinction: that if the case of the contingency happening within that period (or, at least, such a case of the contingency as can only happen within that period), be expressed in a separate clause, and the event happens within that period, or the case so specified happens, the limitation is not void for remoteness. For example, a limitation to take effect in case any of the issue of A. shall become entitled to Blackacre is admittedly void, even though B. (a son of A., who was born before the making of the settlement) be the person who so becomes entitled; but a limitation to take effect in case B. (a son of A. who is alive at the making of the settlement) or any other issue of A. shall become entitled to Blackacre is (according to *Miles v. Harford*) valid if B. be the person who becomes so entitled. That this decision is contrary to *Banks v. Holmes* will appear from a perusal of that case, but independently of that case the recent decision may, I think, be fairly questioned. It establishes a decision which the court in establishing it admitted to be an absurd one, but considered to be forced upon it by authority. If, however, this be the state of the authorities, the rule laid down in all the text-books and stated above has no substantial existence, but can be in every case evaded by the use of a few additional words, and the object of that rule (viz., to insure that the validity of the limitation shall be ascertainable when it is first made) is circumvented.

Nor will any cases be found on a minute examination to bear out the distinction. The court in deciding *Miles v. Harford* did not state what cases were relied on, but no doubt they were *Monypenny v. Dering* (2 De G. M. & G. 183-4); *Williams v. Lewis* (6 H. L. C. 1013), and *Hodgson v. Halford* (27 W. R. 545), and the cases cited in these, (especially *Proctor v. Bishop of Bath and Wells*, 2 H. Bl. 358; to the facts of which the court referred by way of illustration, though without mentioning its name). Perhaps *Evers v. Challis* (7 W. R. 622) was also in the mind of the court, but that case merely decided that a legal contingent remainder was not invalidated by the fact that the contingent event might have happened after the lapse of the perpetuity period, because, if the event had so long remained contingent, the remainder would have been invalidated by the well-known rule that such a remainder must vest *eo instanti* when the preceding estate determines. And the other cases qualify the perpetuity rule to this extent only, that if the limitation (the validity of which is in question), be preceded by a limitation so framed as necessarily to commence within, but to be capable of terminating beyond, the perpetuity line; and if the former depend on the contingency that the preceding limitation either shall never commence or shall terminate, and if the contingency which happens be that it shall never commence, then the perpetuity rule does not apply. True it is that the express mention of the former alternative makes a difference even in cases in which the limitation over, would in its literal sense, include it; but it makes this difference only, that the expression of the latter alternative only is construed as an indication of intention that the limitation, the validity of which is in question, shall take

effect only at the time when the preceding limitation (if valid) would terminate, whereas the expression of the former alternative shows that it is meant to take effect immediately in case the preceding limitation should turn out to be void. Thus, in *Monypenny v. Dering*, on a limitation to P. M. (who then had no son) for life, remainder to the first son of P. M. for life, remainder for the first son of that first son in tail, remainders to the other sons successively in tail, and, either on default of such issue of P. M. or in case of his not leaving any at his decease, remainder to T., it was held that the expression of the double alternative in introducing the limitation to T. showed an intention that T. should take whether the previous limitations were valid or not; whereas, if the ordinary words, "in default of such issue," had alone been used, it would have been inferred that T. was only meant to take when the previous limitations would (if valid) have expired, and therefore the limitation to him would have been void.

I trust the importance of the question will be a sufficient excuse for troubling you to insert this.

Exeter.

H. W. BOYD MACKAY.

THE ASSESSMENT OF WATER-RATES.

[To the Editor of the Solicitors' Journal.]

Sir,—In consequence of the Grand Junction Waterworks Company having considerably increased the water-rate on my house, I inquired how they made out the amount, and found that they proceeded on the assumption that the "annual value" on which by their Act of 1852 they were authorized to charge four per cent. (I omit some additional charges for high service and waterclosets) was the "gross annual value" as ascertained under the Valuation Metropolis Act, 1869, and not the "rateable annual value" according to which all other rates are assessed. The collector assured me that it had been decided that they were entitled to charge according to the gross annual value, but I have not been able to find in the indices to the reports, nor in Michael and Wills's "Gas and Water," any case in which the point was raised.

I need hardly remind your readers that the gross value is the rent which a tenant might be reasonably expected to pay if the tenant undertook to pay all usual tenant's rates and taxes, while the landlord undertook to bear the costs of repairs and insurance and other expenses to maintain the property; but the rateable value is arrived at by deducting from the gross value the probable average cost of the repairs, insurance, and other expenses aforesaid.

Now, as I understand the matter, the real "annual value" manifestly is not what the landlord may receive as rent, but what he can keep for himself after paying for repairs, insurance, and other expenses of maintenance, i.e., it is the rateable value as above defined, and not the gross value.

The County Rate Act (15 & 16 Vict. c. 81, s. 6) places this meaning on "full and fair annual value," and the Licensing Act, 1872, s. 47, gives a similar definition of "annual value."

If I am right in supposing that the "annual value" of the Grand Junction Water Company's Act (and the same words are used in the Acts of the other companies) is to be ascertained like the rateable value, I would submit that, under the Valuation Metropolis Act, 1869, s. 47, the valuation list is conclusive as to what the rateable value is for the water-rate as well as for all other rates. This section makes the valuation list "conclusive evidence of the gross annual value and rateable annual value for the county rate, poor-rate, . . . and every other rate, assessment, and contribution made and required in the metropolis on the basis of value." The water-rate is a rate made and required on the basis of value; and, though it is true that all the rates specially mentioned in the section are, like the poor-rate, payable to some

completely public body, I do not see that there is anything to prevent the section from also applying to rates on the basis of value charged by a company upon which Parliament has imposed the public duty of supplying water within a certain district.

The question is one which concerns every one who pays water-rates in the metropolis, as the Acts of the different companies all use the words "annual value," and the difference between taking the gross value and the rateable value will probably amount on an average to one-sixth of the rate. It is not worth while for an individual householder to incur the expense of fighting such a question, but if the view I have put forward be considered tenable, it would be worth while for some public body or some association of individuals to take steps to get the question judicially decided. D.

AFFIDAVITS BY MARKSMEN.

[To the Editor of the Solicitor's Journal.]

Sir,—Can any of your readers inform me whether, on taking the affidavit of a marksman, the commissioner is entitled to any, and what, fee for reading over the affidavit to the deponent? J. H.

Cases of the Week.

COMPANY—EXECUTION CREDITOR—LEVY AFTER NOTICE OF VOLUNTARY WINDING UP—INJUNCTION TO RESTRAIN EXECUTION IN ACTIONS IN THE EXCHEQUER DIVISION REFUSED BY JUDGE OF THE CHANCERY DIVISION—COMPANIES ACT, 1862, ss. 85, 138—JUDICATURE ACT, 1873, s. 24, SUBSECTION 5.—In the case of *In re Artistic Colour Printing Company (Limited)*, an *ex parte* application was made to the Master of the Rolls, on the 6th inst., by the liquidator of the company for an injunction to restrain a creditor from proceeding to levy execution on a judgment obtained by him in the Exchequer Division. It appeared that before the judgment was signed, the execution creditor had notice of a resolution for the voluntary winding up of the company. For the applicant, reliance was placed upon *In re Perkin's Beach Lead Mining Company* (L. R. 7 Ch. D. 371), where an injunction in a similar case had been granted. JESSEL, M.R., was of opinion that that case was no authority on the point, as section 24, sub-section 5, of the Judicature Act was not referred to. By the combined effect of sections 138 and 85 of the Companies Act the court could, no doubt, after a resolution for a winding up, restrain further proceedings in any action, suit, or proceeding against the company. That section must, however, be contrasted with section 24, sub-section 59, of the Judicature Act, 1873, which provided that no cause or proceeding at any time pending in the High Court of Justice should be restrained by prohibition or injunction: Provided that nothing in the Act should disable the High Court from directing a stay of proceedings in any cause or matter pending before it if it should think fit." Under the old practice the action or suit was not restrained, but the party was restrained from presenting the same, and that was the effect of the section of the Judicature Act, and if it was desired to stay any action, the section meant that the applicant should go to the division in which the action or proceeding was pending and not to the Chancery Division, as under the former practice. In his opinion the execution was a "proceeding" in the action, and therefore the applicant must go to the Exchequer Division if he desired to stop the sheriff from proceeding to a sale.—SOLICITORS, *Wilkinson & Howlett*.

EVIDENCE—ADMISSIBILITY—COPIES OF ENTRIES IN BANKERS' BOOKS—BANKERS' BOOKS EVIDENCE ACT, 1879 (42 & 43 VICT. c. 11), s. 3.—In a case of *Harding v. Williams*, before Fry, J., on the 6th inst., a question arose upon the construction of section 3 of the Bankers' Books Evidence Act 1879, which provides that, "Subject to the provisions of this Act, a copy of any entry in a banker's book shall, in all legal proceedings, be received as *prima facie* evidence of such entry,

and of the matters, transactions, and accounts therein recorded," and section 10 defines the expression "legal proceeding" as meaning "any civil or criminal proceeding or inquiry in which evidence is or may be given," including an arbitration. In *Harding v. Williams*, the plaintiff claimed the redemption of some securities, and the question in dispute between the parties was whether a sum of £500 had or had not been paid by the plaintiff to the defendant. The defendant's counsel tendered in evidence copies of certain entries in books of the defendant's bankers, the copies being verified by an affidavit of one of the officers of the bank, as provided by the Act. The plaintiff's counsel objected that the copies were not evidence against the plaintiff, on the ground that the original entries themselves would not have been evidence against him. FRY, J., held that the effect of section 3 is to make copies of such entries *prima facie* evidence against any one in any legal proceeding of the matters therein recorded. The evidence was accordingly admitted.—SOLICITORS, *Hare & Fell; Tucker & Lake*.

DIVORCE—LUNACY OF HUSBAND—PETITION BY COMMITTEE.—In the Probate, Divorce, and Admiralty Division on the 6th inst., the President of the division delivered judgment in *Baker v. Baker, Wheeler v. Owen*. The petitioner was a lunatic, there being no present prospect of his recovery, and his committee had, by the leave and under the direction of the Lords Justices sitting in Lunacy, presented a petition to this division for the dissolution of his marriage, upon the ground of his wife's adultery with the two co-respondents. The respondent denied the adultery and also demurred on the ground that it was not competent for the committee of a lunatic to present a petition for the dissolution of his marriage. HANNEN, P., observed that this question had been touched upon, though not decided, in *Mordaunt v. Mordaunt* (23 W. R. 12, L. R. 2 P. & D. 375), where all the judges were agreed that it must be determined upon the words of the Divorce Act, 1857, which first empowered the court to give relief on the ground of adultery. He was bound by the principles laid down in that case, as well as by the actual decision, and the balance of judicial opinion therein appeared to be in favour of the present suit being maintainable. All the difficulties urged by the counsel for the respondent existed to even a greater extent where the respondent was a lunatic, but still the House of Lords held that the relief must be granted. It had been urged that section 41 of the Divorce Act, 1857, which required that every petition should be verified by the affidavit of the petitioner, showed that a committee could not present a petition, but Lord Hatherley had pointed out in *Mordaunt v. Mordaunt* that this section applied equally to suits for nullity, judicial separation, and jactitation of marriage, and that suits for nullity had formerly proceeded in many cases upon the insanity of the person applying for the decree. It was within the jurisdiction of the Lords Justices to withhold their leave to the committee to present the petition, and in the present case their discretion had been, no doubt, duly exercised. Upon grounds of expediency it might be very undesirable to refuse relief in a case like the present. A guilty wife might be left in possession of property, and might squander it upon her paramour to the injury of her children; or illegitimate offspring might unjustly inherit property. Upon the whole, his lordship felt bound, upon the principles enunciated in *Mordaunt v. Mordaunt*, to overrule the demurrer.—SOLICITORS, *White & Sons; Surr, Gribble, & Bunton*.

A Western judge, says the (American) *Criminal Law Magazine*, recently sentenced a man to imprisonment for life. The prisoner remarked that the judge was no gentleman, and the indignant judge thereupon added two years to the sentence.

There are five candidates in the field for the office of coroner for West Kent, vacant by the death of Mr. C. J. Carttar—viz., Mr. Edward Arundel Carttar, of Greenwich, son of the late coroner; Mr. George Collier, deputy-coroner for East Middlesex, and late deputy-coroner for West Kent; Mr. Maxwell, a surgeon, of Woolwich; Mr. John Thomas Moss, solicitor, of Zetland Lodge, New Cross; and Mr. H. W. J. Pook, solicitor, of Tudor House, Greenwich, son of the late Mr. Henry Pook.

Appointments, &c.

Mr. PHILIP BERNY BROWN, solicitor (of the firm of Brown, Donaldson, & Woolnough), of 70, Lincoln's-inn-fields, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women for the County of Middlesex and the Cities of London and Westminster.

Mr. ALFRED WHALLEY COLE, barrister, has been appointed one of her Majesty's Counsel for the Colony of the Cape of Good Hope. Mr. Cole was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Hilary Term, 1850, and is parliamentary draftsman for the colony.

Mr. ALFRED JOHN DAVIES, solicitor (of the firm of Gresham & Davies), of 24, Basinghall-street, has been appointed Deputy High Bailiff of Southwark. Mr. Davies was admitted a solicitor in 1875, and is in partnership with Mr. William Gresham, the High Bailiff of Southwark.

Mr. WILLIAM BENNETT BARTON FREELAND, solicitor, of Chichester, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for taking the Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women for the County of Sussex. Mr. Freeland has also been appointed a Commissioner to administer Oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature.

Mr. WILFRID GIBSON, solicitor, of Hexham, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner for Northumberland, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, and Berwick-on-Tweed, for taking the Acknowledgments of Deeds by Married Women. Mr. Gibson was admitted a solicitor in 1871, and is in partnership with Mr. Richard Gibson, the clerk to the county magistrates.

Mr. GEORGE FREDERICK HILL, solicitor, of Cardiff, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner to take the Acknowledgments of Married Women for the County of Glamorgan.

Mr. JOHN HUGHES, of Liverpool, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner to take the Acknowledgments of Married Women for the County of Lancaster.

Mr. ARTHUR HENRY LOCK, solicitor, of Dorchester, has been elected Clerk to the Dorchester Board of Guardians, Assessment Committee, and Rural Sanitary Authority, and superintendent registrar for the district, in succession to his father, the late Mr. Henry Lock. Mr. A. H. Lock was admitted a solicitor in 1867.

Mr. FRANCIS ROBERTSON MOORE, of Warwick, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner to take the Acknowledgments of Married Women for the County of Warwick.

Mr. THURSTON COLLIER PETER, solicitor, of Redruth, has been appointed Clerk to the Redruth Board of Guardians, Assessment Committee, and Rural Sanitary Authority, on the resignation of Mr. John Luke Peter, who is registrar of the Redruth County Court. Mr. T. C. Peter was admitted a solicitor in 1878.

Mr. JOHN SINGLETON, solicitor (of the firm of Singleton & Tatterhall), of 9, Great James-street, has been appointed a Commissioner to administer Oaths in the Supreme Court of Judicature.

Mr. SAMUEL FRANCIS STONE, of Leicester, has been appointed a Perpetual Commissioner to take the Acknowledgments of Married Women for the County of Leicester.

Mr. THOMAS UPINGTON, Attorney-General for the colony of the Cape of Good Hope, has been appointed one of her Majesty's Counsel for that colony.

NEW LEGAL M.P.'S.

Mr. JAMES BRYCE, D.C.L., who has been elected M.P. for the Tower Hamlets in the Liberal interest, is the son of Dr. James Bryce, and was born in 1838. He was educated at the University of Glasgow, and at Trinity College, Oxford, where he graduated as a double first (classics and law and modern history) in 1861. He obtained the Gaisford Prize for Greek prose in 1860, the Gaisford Prize for Greek verse in 1861, the Craven Scholarship, and the Latin Essay Prize in 1862, and the Arnold Prize in 1863. He also obtained the Vinerian Law Scholarship and a fellowship at Oriel College, and afterwards proceeded to the degree of D.C.L. Mr. Bryce was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn

in Trinity Term, 1867, and practises at the Chancery bar, being also a member of the Northern Circuit. He was appointed Regius Professor of Civil Law in the University of Oxford in 1873, and Professor of Roman Law at the Inns of Court in 1877.

Mr. JOSEPH WILLIAM CHITTY, Q.C., who has been elected M.P. for the City of Oxford in the Liberal interest, is the second son of the late Mr. Thomas Chitty, special pleader, and was born in 1828. He was educated at Eton and at Balliol College, Oxford, where he graduated first class in classics in 1851. He obtained the Vinerian Law Scholarship in 1852, and was elected a fellow of Exeter College. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Easter Term, 1856, and became a Queen's Counsel in 1874. Mr. Chitty practises in the Rolls Court. He is a bencher of Lincoln's-inn, and was for several years major in the Inns of Court Rifle Volunteers. He is married to a daughter of the late Lord Chief Baron Sir Frederick Pollock.

Mr. FRANCIS COMPTON, barrister, who has been elected M.P. for the Southern Division of Hampshire in the Conservative interest, is the youngest son of the late Mr. Henry Combe Compton, of Minstead Manor, Hampshire, and was born in 1824. He was educated at Merton College, Oxford, where he graduated third class in classics and second class in mathematics in 1846. He obtained the Vinerian Law Fellowship and a fellowship at All Souls' College, and he subsequently proceeded to the degree of D.C.L. Mr. Compton was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Michaelmas Term, 1850, and is a member of the Western Circuit.

Mr. WILLIAM FOWLER, barrister, who has been elected M.P. for the borough of Cambridge in the Liberal interest, is the fourth son of Mr. John Fowler, of Chapel Nap, Wiltshire. He was born in 1828, and was educated at University College, London, and graduated at the University of London B.A. in 1848. He was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Hilary Term, 1852, and formerly practised at an equity draftsman and conveyancer. Mr. Fowler is a magistrate for Essex, and represented Cambridge from 1868 till 1874.

Mr. HENRY HARTLEY FOWLER, solicitor (of the firm of Corser, Fowler, & Langley), of 146, Leadenhall-street and Wolverhampton, who has been elected M.P. for the Borough of Wolverhampton in the Liberal interest, is the son of the Rev. Joseph Fowler, and was born in 1830. He was educated at St. Saviour's Grammar School, Southwark, and was admitted a solicitor in 1852. Mr. Fowler is clerk to the South Staffordshire Drainage Commissioners. He was mayor of Wolverhampton in 1862, and is an alderman and magistrate for the borough.

Mr. JOSEPH ALFRED HARDCASTLE, barrister, who has been elected M.P. for the borough of Bury St. Edmunds in the Liberal interest, is the eldest son of Mr. Alfred Hardcastle, of Hatcham Lodge, Surrey, and was born in 1815. He was formerly scholar of Trinity College, Cambridge, where he graduated in the first class of the classical tripos in 1838. He was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Hilary Term, 1841, and he is a magistrate for Essex and a deputy-lieutenant for Surrey. Mr. Hardcastle was M.P. for Colchester from 1847 till 1852, and for Bury St. Edmunds from 1857 till 1874.

Mr. JOSEPH JOHNSON LEEAMAN, solicitor (of the firm of Leeman, Wilkinson, & Leeman), of York, who has been elected M.P. for that city in the Liberal interest, is the only son of Mr. George Leeman, clerk of the peace for the East Riding, and late M.P. for York, and was born in 1842. He was admitted a solicitor in 1865, and is clerk to the lieutenancy for the East Riding. He is in partnership with his father, and with Mr. Joseph Wilkinson, town clerk of York.

Mr. JOHN WILLIAM MELLOR, Q.C., who has been elected M.P. for the Borough of Grantham in the Liberal interest, is the eldest son of the Right Hon. Sir John Mellor, late a judge of the Queen's Bench Division, and was born in 1835. He was educated at Trinity College, Cambridge, where he graduated as a senior optime in 1857. He was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Easter Term, 1860, and is a member of the Midland Circuit. He was formerly a revising barrister, and was for several years recorder of Grantham. Mr. Mellor was appointed a Queen's Counsel

in 1875, and he is a bencher of the Inner Temple, and a magistrate for Somersetshire.

MR. JOHN HINDE PALMER, Q.C., who has been elected M.P. for the City of Lincoln in the Liberal interest, is the only son of Mr. Samuel Palmer, of Dulwich, and was born in 1808. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Hilary Term, 1832, and has practised for many years in the Court of Chancery. He became a Queen's Counsel in 1859, and he is a magistrate for the county of Surrey, a bencher of Lincoln's-inn, and treasurer of that society for the current year. Mr. Palmer represented Lincoln from 1868 till 1874.

MR. CHARLES SAVILLE ROUNDELL, barrister, who has been elected M.P. for the borough of Grantham in the Liberal interest, is the son of Mr. Danson Richardson Roundell, of Gledstone, Yorkshire, and was born in 1828. He was educated at Harrow and at Balliol College, Oxford, where he graduated first class in classics and second class in mathematics in 1850. He obtained the Chancellor's Prize for an English essay in 1851, and was elected Fellow of Merton College. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Trinity Term, 1857. He was private secretary to Earl Spencer when Lord-Lieutenant of Ireland, and in 1866 he acted as secretary to the Jamaica Commission of Enquiry.

MR. EDWARD WAUGH, solicitor, of Cookermouth, who has been elected M.P. for that borough in the Liberal interest, is the son of Mr. John Lamb Waugh, of Irthington, Cumberland, and was born in 1816. He was admitted a solicitor in 1840, and is in partnership with his son, Mr. Edward Lamb Waugh. He is registrar of the Cookermouth County Court, and clerk to the magistrates and Commissioners of Taxes.

MR. EDMUND ROBERT WODEHOUSE, barrister, who has been elected M.P. for the City of Bath in the Liberal interest, is the only son of Sir Philip Edmund Wodehouse, K.C.B., G.C.S.I. He was born in 1835, and was educated at Balliol College, Oxford, where he graduated first class in classics in 1858. He was called to the bar at Lincoln's-inn in Hilary Term, 1861, and he was a member of the old Norfolk Circuit. He was private secretary to the Earl of Kimberley when at the Colonial Office, and to his father when governor of Bombay. Mr. Wodehouse was also secretary to the Royal Commission on the Penal Servitude Acts.

MR. CHARLES BEILBY STUART WORTLEY, barrister, who has been elected M.P. for the borough of Sheffield in the Conservative interest, is the second son of the Right Hon. James Archibald Stuart Wortley, Q.C., and was born in 1851. He was educated at Balliol College, Oxford, where he graduated second class in jurisprudence in 1874, and he was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in January, 1876. He is a member of the North-Eastern Circuit, and he acted as secretary to the Royal Commission on the Sale and Transfer of Ecclesiastical Benefices.

BARON HENRY DE WORMS, barrister, F.S.A., who has been elected M.P. for the Borough of Greenwich in the Conservative interest, is the son of the late Baron Solomon de Worms, and was born in 1840. He was educated at King's College, London, and was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Trinity Term, 1867. He is a member of the South-Eastern Circuit, a magistrate and deputy-lieutenant for the county of Middlesex, and a baron of the Austrian Empire.

DISSOLUTIONS OF PARTNERSHIPS.

THOMAS CHORLTON and EDWARD CHORLTON, solicitors, 32, Brazen-nose-street, Manchester (Thomas and Edward Chorlton). Thomas Chorlton will continue to practise at the offices of the late firm. March 25. (*Gazette*, April 2, 1880.)

FRANCIS WILLIAM ARKCOLL, RICHARD GARDINER MINSHULL JONES, and EDWARD MEYRICK COCKELL, solicitors and parliamentary agents, 190, Tooley-street, Southwark (Arkcoll, Jones, & Cockell). March 23, 1880. (*Gazette*, April 6, 1880.)

AMBROSE HAYNES, GEORGE ALEXANDER HAYNES, and JOHN HAYNES, Grecian-chambers, Devereux-court, Temple, solicitors (Ambrose, Haynes & Sons). (Business will be

carried on by the said Ambrose Haynes alone.) April 3, 1880. (*Gazette*, April 6, 1880.)

ISAAC SHEFFIELD, THOMAS NEEDHAM SHEFFIELD, and FREDERICK SHEFFIELD, solicitors, 20, Cullum-street, London (Sheffield & Sons), so far as regards the said Isaac Sheffield. (Thomas Needham Sheffield and Frederick Sheffield will continue to carry on the said business under the style or firm of Sheffield & Sons). Dec. 31, 1879. (*Gazette*, April 6, 1880.)

Societies.

THE LAW UNION INSURANCE COMPANY.

The annual general meeting of this company was held on the 31st ult., James Cuddon, Esq., chairman of the board, presiding.

Mr. F. MCGEDY (the actuary and secretary) read the notice convening the meeting and the minutes of the previous meeting. The report and accounts were taken as read.

The CHAIRMAN said—Gentlemen, the report before you is so explicit that no remarks of mine are really needed, but I will nevertheless review the main facts in as few words as possible. First, as to the business of the past year. In the fire department the new premiums have been a little less than in the preceding year, but the results have been exceptionally favourable; there is a surplus of £18,000, being a far larger surplus than has been realized in any year. I need hardly say that a continuance of such extraordinary good fortune can scarcely be expected, the average percentage of loss being only 19 per cent. Then, as to the life business, the new premiums in the year notwithstanding the general depression have exceeded £8,000, being about £560 in excess of those in the preceding year. The claims have been rather heavy in the year, but it must be borne in mind that all those claims have been fully taken into account in the valuation which is placed before you. Now as to the past quinquennium. You will see that during that period the fire premiums have been increased by upwards of £10,000 a year; the life premiums have been increased by more than £15,000 a-year, of course after deducting all lapses, surrenders, &c., and there has been an augmentation of the accumulated fund amounting to £217,856. That, I think, is very satisfactory. On the valuation which has been made there is a considerably larger surplus than there was on the former occasion, and that notwithstanding that the actuary has adopted the strictest known table of mortality as the basis of his valuation—namely, the Hx Table—the table of the Institute of Actuaries, as mentioned in the report, reckoning only 3 per cent. interest, and including the whole of the loading, which amounts to about one-fifth of the premiums. The bonus to the life policyholders is, I think, very good, amounting on policies upon young lives to a reversionary bonus of more than 50 per cent. of the premium paid during the quinquennium, and averaging all round, I should say, more than 30 per cent. on each premium. The prospective further bonus of 1 per cent. per annum upon policies falling in during the current quinquennium is an important feature in favour of the assured. With regard to the reversions, a re-valuation has become necessary, first of all, because of the tenants for life being older, and secondly, on account of some reversions having been increased in value by the dropping of one of two lives; in some instances the reversions were upon two lives. It is our practice to debit the reversion account with 5 per cent. interest, but at the same time to credit towards that 5 per cent., or as part of it, all the profit we may make in one year by the falling in of reversions. Before estimating the profit of any reversion, we always deduct 5 per cent. interest, so that when we speak of profit we mean the profit over and above the 5 per cent. interest, and of course over and above all the costs and expenses. I need hardly say that our actuary in every part of his valuation has exercised great caution and every possible care; it is impossible to have a valuation made with greater exactness or with greater regard to security. Safety and security are, of course, the very first things, whether the bonus be a little more or less. It is very pleasant indeed to have a large bonus, but it is a matter of the most essential importance that, at all events, we should have the most perfect safety, and that we should also have a large reserve for future

liabilities. I need hardly say that when you take into consideration the large sum of £7,000 or £8,000 a year, which is the difference between 3 per cent. and the interest which you really make, that is 4½ per cent., and also the amount of the loading, which is about £13,000 a year, you have a very large and wide margin by way of security for future profits and future expenses. Now as to the shareholders. We began the past quinquennium with a dividend of 15 per cent., and we had in hand on the profit and loss account towards the then future dividend £10,000. The dividend, I should say, of 15 per cent. has been gradually increased up to 20 per cent. during the past quinquennium. If you adopt his report we shall commence the quinquennium with a dividend of 20 per cent., the same as has been paid before, and also a special bonus for this year, but for this year only, of 20 per cent. also, making, in point of fact, 40 per cent. for the year. We shall also reserve on the profit and loss account £21,000, being double the sum which we reserved, and which we found amply sufficient on the former occasion. Then, again, with regard to the fire insurance reserve fund which is made up of undivided profits, that has also been doubled during the past quinquennium, now standing as it does at £30,000, being originally £15,000; and considering the nature of our risks, and the very great safety of our business, I think there are very few companies indeed who have relatively so large a reserve. The business, as you are aware, has been very largely increased during the past several years; and it will be the duty of the directors to consider the expenses of management. We have always curtailed those expenses within the smallest possible reasonable limits, but we have felt it our duty lately to revise the different salaries in the office, and to make some addition to the annual expenditure. If no one wishes to ask any questions, I will at once move the report before you be adopted.

Mr. CHARLES PEMBERTON, the deputy-chairman, seconded the motion, which was carried unanimously.

Mr. R. W. ROBERTS moved the payment of the dividend and bonus, as recommended in the report of the directors; the motion was seconded by Mr. T. G. MILLS and carried unanimously.

On the motion of Mr. EDMUND JAMES, seconded by Mr. A. R. OLDMAN, the retiring directors were severally and separately re-elected. The auditors, Messrs. Darley and Waterhouse, were also re-elected.

Mr. H. ROSCÖE moved "That £2,500 per annum be paid to directors for their valuable services, to commence from the 30th of November last."

Mr. RICHARD MILLS seconded the motion, which was carried unanimously.

The CHAIRMAN—I beg to thank you, gentlemen, for the vote you have just passed. We all of us wish to give the best possible attention to the business of the company, and we have always done so. Nevertheless we certainly view with satisfaction the appreciation of our services which you show us by this vote of increased remuneration.

Mr. N. E. STEINBERG rose to move a resolution to the effect that the salaries of the secretary and staff should be taken into consideration by the directors, with the view of making some substantial addition thereto, but the chairman stated that this subject had already been taken into consideration by the board, and the salaries of the whole staff had been increased to the entire satisfaction of every one in the office. Mr. Steinberg withdrew his motion, and concluded by proposing the payment of fifty guineas per annum to each of the auditors, Mr. Darley and Mr. Waterhouse, which was duly seconded.

The CHAIRMAN, in putting the resolution to the meeting, said—I think we are greatly indebted to the auditors for the pains and the trouble which they take; and the time which they expend is very great indeed. We have a large number of securities, but everything is strictly examined into. There is nothing slurred over of any kind. They do not grudge the time which is necessary; and they are certainly by no means over-paid.

The motion, which was carried unanimously, concluded the formal business of the meeting.

The CHAIRMAN said—Gentlemen, we all fully appreciate the great zeal and ability of our actuary and secretary, Mr. McGedy. It is impossible that anybody can take a deeper interest in the business than he does. We are all indebted to him very much, and I beg to move a vote of thanks to him.

Mr. PEMBERTON (the deputy-chairman)—I beg to second

that motion. I can vouch for the zeal and assiduity of Mr. McGedy, and I think a great deal of the success of the office depends upon him.

The resolution was carried unanimously.

Mr. MCGEDY—Mr. Chairman and gentlemen, I beg to return you my sincere thanks for the very great honour you have done me. As the chairman has told you, I do feel the deepest interest in the welfare of the company. It is certainly a source of great gratification to me to find that it has met with so much success. When we consider that when the Law Union was established there were no fewer than four law life assurance offices, and one law fire assurance office in existence to compete with, and that those offices had really got most of the leading members of the profession to support them, I think we may fairly congratulate ourselves on having, in the face of so much competition, arrived at such a prosperous state. Of course, the company is not so large as some; many have very much larger revenues; but, to use a homely adage, "The proof of the pudding is in the eating;" it is not always the offices which have the largest revenues that make the largest profits. I thank you, gentlemen, for your kind vote of thanks.

After other votes of thanks the meeting terminated.

Law Students' Journal.

LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.

The weekly meeting of this society was held at the Law Institution, Chancery-lane, on Tuesday evening last, Mr. W. R. Lloyd Jones in the chair. The society discussed the adjourned debate on the general election—"Is it desirable that Lord Beaconsfield's Government should be supported by a majority at the general election?" The question was opened in the negative by Mr. W. A. Bilney. Messrs. J. A. Neale, A. M. Ellis, C. E. Barry, J. A. Chater, and J. H. Hunter supported the negative; and Messrs. Sydaey Montagu and Edward Bedford upheld the negative. The question on being put to the meeting was decided in the affirmative.

MANCHESTER LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

The eleventh meeting of the session of this society was held on the 30th ult. at the Law Library, Cross-street Chambers, Manchester, when the chair was taken by Mr. Thomas Nash, barrister-at-law. The minutes of the last meetings having been read by the hon. secretary (Mr. T. W. Millar) and passed, and other formal business transacted, the chairman called upon Mr. Rayner to open the debate in favour of the affirmative. The subject for discussion was as follows:—"The assignee of a lease becomes bankrupt and his trustee in bankruptcy disclaims. Can the lessor recover, by action from the original lessee, rent which has accrued due since the disclaimer?" Mr. Rayner was followed by Messrs. Law, Rycroft, Hislop, Hodgkinson, and Innes. Mr. J. C. Wilson argued on behalf of the negative, and was followed by Messrs. Marshall, Birch, and Price. Mr. Rayner having replied, the chairman summed up, and the question was decided in favour of the affirmative by a large majority. A vote of thanks to the chairman, proposed by Mr. Millar, and seconded by Mr. Innes, brought the meeting to a close.

THE NEW PROBATE AND ADMINISTRATION DUTIES.

THE following are the provisions of the Customs and Inland Revenue Act, 1880 (43 Vict. c. 14), as to stamps:—

9. *Grant of duties on probates and letters of administration.*—On and after the first day of April, one thousand eight hundred and eighty, in lieu of the stamp duties now payable upon probates of wills and letters of administration in England and Ireland, and upon inventories to be exhibited and recorded in any commissary court in Scotland, there shall be charged and paid the duties specified in the schedule to this Act: Provided, that an additional inventory to be so exhibited or recorded of any effects of a deceased person, where a former duly stamped inventory of the estate and effects of the same person has been exhibited and recorded prior to the first day of April, one thousand eight hundred and

eighty, shall be chargeable with the amount of stamp duty with which it would have been chargeable if this Act had not been passed.

10. *Account to accompany affidavit on application for probate or letters of administration.* (1.) Together with the affidavit to be required and received from the person applying for a probate or letters of administration in England, in conformity with section thirty-eight of the Act passed in the fifty-fifth year of the reign of King George the Third, chapter one hundred and eighty-four, there shall be delivered an account of the particulars of the personal estate for or in respect of which the probate or letters of administration is or are to be granted, and of the estimated value of such particulars.

(2.) The account so delivered shall be transmitted to the Commissioners of Inland Revenue, together with the documents mentioned in section ninety-three of the Act passed in the twentieth and twenty-first years of her Majesty's reign, chapter seventy seven.

(3.) A like account shall be annexed to the affidavit to be required and received from the person applying for a probate or letters of administration in Ireland, in conformity with section one hundred and seventeen of the Act passed in the fifty-sixth year of the reign of King George the Third, chapter fifty-six, and such account shall be in lieu of, and in substitution for, the account annexed to the form of affidavit set forth in part III. of the schedule to the said Act.

(4.) Every account to be delivered in pursuance of this section shall be in accordance with such form as may be prescribed by the commissioners of her Majesty's Treasury.

11. *Power to commute legacy duty or succession duty presumptively payable in certain cases—16 & 17 Vict. c. 51.* Where any legacy duty or succession duty shall be presumptively payable in respect of any interest in expectancy upon the determination of a life or other temporary interest in possession in a legacy, or residue, or in personal property comprised in a succession, and the duty (if any) payable upon the life or other temporary interest shall have been fully paid and satisfied, it shall be lawful for the Commissioners of Inland Revenue, in their discretion, upon the application of the executor or trustee or other person who would be accountable for the duty in respect of such interest in expectancy, if it were then in possession, to commute the duty presumptively payable for a certain sum to be presently paid.

For assessing the amount which shall be so payable the commissioners shall cause a present value to be set upon the presumptive duty, regard being had to any contingencies affecting the liability to such duty, and the interest of money involved in the calculation being reckoned at the rate for the time being allowed by the commissioners in respect of duties paid in advance under the Succession Duty Act, 1853.

Upon the receipt of the certain sum the commissioners shall give a discharge for the duty accordingly.

12. *Discharge of executor, &c., from claim to duty on distribution of fund.* When an executor, administrator, or trustee shall have given notice in writing to the Commissioners of Inland Revenue for any claim to legacy duty or succession duty in respect of any fund in his hands which he intends to distribute, and shall have delivered to the commissioners all particulars which they may require in order to ascertain the existence and extent of any such claim, he shall be at liberty to distribute the fund amongst the parties entitled thereto, after satisfaction of any claims to duty made by the commissioners, and shall be entitled to receive from them a certificate discharging him from his liability to any duty in respect of the fund.

Such certificate shall not in any way affect the liability of any person other than the person in whose favour it is expressed to be given.

13. *Relief from legacy duty when whole personal estate is less than £100.* Where it appears upon an examination of the account rendered to the Commissioners of Inland Revenue that the value of the whole of the personal estate of any person dying after the passing of this Act does not amount to the sum of one hundred pounds, no legacy duty shall be charged in respect thereof or of any portion thereof.

SCHEDULE

OF STAMP DUTIES ON PROBATES AND LETTERS OF ADMINISTRATION IN ENGLAND OR IRELAND AND ON INVENTORIES IN SCOTLAND.

Where the estate and effects for or in respect of which a probate or letters of administration shall be granted, or whereof an inventory shall be exhibited and recorded, exclusive of what the deceased shall have been possessed of or entitled to as a trustee for any other person and not beneficially, shall be:—

	£		£	Duty.
Above the value of	100	and under	200	2
Of the value of	200	"	300	4
"	300	"	400	6
"	400	"	500	9
"	500	"	600	11
"	600	"	800	15
"	800	"	1,000	22
"	1,000	"	1,500	30
"	1,500	"	2,000	40
"	2,000	"	3,000	62
"	3,000	"	4,000	88
"	4,000	"	5,000	113
"	5,000	"	6,000	140
"	6,000	"	7,000	165
"	7,000	"	8,000	190
"	8,000	"	9,000	215
"	9,000	"	10,000	240
"	10,000	"	12,000	275
"	12,000	"	14,000	325
"	14,000	"	16,000	375
"	16,000	"	18,000	425
"	18,000	"	20,000	475
"	20,000	"	25,000	565
"	25,000	"	30,000	690
"	30,000	"	35,000	815
"	35,000	"	40,000	940
"	40,000	"	45,000	1,065
"	45,000	"	50,000	1,190
"	50,000	"	60,000	1,375
"	60,000	"	70,000	1,625
"	70,000	"	80,000	1,875
"	80,000	"	90,000	2,125
"	90,000	"	100,000	2,375
"	100,000	"	120,000	2,760
"	120,000	"	140,000	3,250
"	140,000	"	160,000	3,750
"	160,000	"	180,000	4,250
"	180,000	"	200,000	4,750
"	200,000	"	250,000	5,625
"	250,000	"	300,000	6,875
"	300,000	"	350,000	8,125
"	350,000	"	400,000	9,375
"	400,000	"	500,000	11,250
"	500,000 and upwards.			

then in addition to the said duty of £11,250, for every full sum of £100,000 in excess of £500,000, and also for any fractional part of £100,000, so in excess 2,500

Creditors' Claims.

CREDITORS UNDER ESTATES IN CHANCERY. LAST DAY OF PROOF.

LIDGE-ELLERTON, ADAM, Gilston rd, West Brompton. May 5. Manners-Sutton v Manners-Sutton, V.C. Hall. Finch and Co, Gray's inn sq.
RUBBINS, EDWARD, Boston, Lincoln, Farmer. Apr 15. Bothamley v Seaton, V.C. Malins. Thompson, Stamford.
TOMSON, HARRIETT, Ramsgate. Apr 20. Tomson v Tomson, M.R. Daniel, Ramsgate.
WILKINS, Rev THOMAS HODGKIN, Marlton, Devon. May 10. Wilkins v Rotherham, V.C. Malins. Kirby, Coventry. [Gazette, Mar. 73.]

AXTEN, JEREMIAH JOHN, Bushy, Heris, Carpenter. May 1. Gibbs v Rodwell, V.C. Hall. Preston, King Edward st.
FISHER, THOMAS, Weston, Lincoln, Farmer. Apr 25. Harrison v Downing, V.C. Malins. Maples, Spalding.
FOLEY, JOSEPH, Rowley Regis, Stafford, Innkeeper. May 1. Mayhew v Foley, V.C. Hall. Robinson and Watts, Dudley.
GRIFFIN, MICHAEL, Pentonville rd, Lodging House-keeper. Apr 30. Gallagher v Toomey, V.C. Bacon. Murr, Chancery lane.
HARRIS, WILLIAM GEORGE, Camberwell green, Furniture Removal Contractor. Apr 24. Corder v Harries, M.R. Armstrong, Chancery lane.
McCLELLAND, JAMES, Pembroke sq, Accountant. Apr 30. Adams v McClelland, V.C. Malins. Muttay, Barchin lane.
PAYOR, THOMAS JAMES, Ditchot, Berks, Draper. Apr 25. Miers v Fryor, V.C. Bacon. Child, Old Jewry chambers.

STROUD, JAMES, Boulogne-sur-Mer. Apr 30. Stroud v Pries, V.C. Hall. Stokes, Chancery lane
 VAUGHAN, THOMAS GWYNNE JEWYNE, Cyngorby, Carmarthen, Esq. Apr 16. Jones v Williams, V.C. Malins. Robinson, Lincoln's inn fields
 WELLY, JOHN, Helmsley, York, Farmer. Apr 26. Willey v Simpson V.C. Malins. Pearson, New Malton

[Gazette, Mar. 26.]

CREDITORS UNDER 22 & 23 VIOT. CAP. 25. LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

ATKINSON, PHILIP PLACE, Blackheath, Kent, Esq. April 28. Crowder and Co, Lincoln's-inn-fields
 BARRY, EDWARD MIDDLETON, Abingdon-st, Westminster, Architect. April 20. Hopgood and Co, Whitehall pl
 BOYD, JEAN MACLEAM, Westbourne-terrace. April 18. Campbell and Co, Warwick st, Regent st
 BUXTON, GEORGE, Sheffield, Gent. April 13. Auty and Sons, Sheffield
 CALDWELL, CHARLOTTE ELIZA, Chandos st, Cavendish sq. April 20. Baker and Co, Lincoln's-inn-fields
 CLINKARD, ALBERT HUCKVALE, West Side, Fortis Green, Tea Broker. April 30. Andrews, Fenchurch st
 CARSLY, THOMAS, Hutton Cranswick, York, Gent. May 15. Jackson, Kingston-upon-Hull
 CROUCHER, ELIZABETH, Four Posts, Southampton. May 17. Hickman and Son, Southampton
 DERRY, DAVID, Plymouth, Banker. April 30. Derry, Plymouth
 DERRY, SUSAN MOYER, Plymouth. April 30. Derry, Plymouth
 GREGG, GEORGE COOPER, Southwell, Nottingham, Gent. May 1. Kirtland, Southwell
 GRIFFIN, ELIZABETH, Colehill, Amersham, Buckingham. April 30. Charsley, Beaconsfield
 HOLZ, JOSEPH, Whitgate, Halifax, Gent. May 1. Foster and Co, Halifax
 HUGHES, MATILDA, Guilford pl, Brunswick sq. May 1. Warry and Co, Lincoln's-inn-fields
 JENNINGS, WILLIAM, Harstan, Cambridge, Farmer. April 17. Wayman, Cambridge
 JONES, MARY, Foxeth Park, Liverpool. April 30. Peacock and Co, Liverpool
 KNIGHT, ELIZABETH, Farham, Surrey. May 1. Druce and Co, Billit sq
 KNOWLES, JOHN, Manchester, Architect. May 10. Cooper, Manchester
 LAW, MARTHA, Eaton, Socon, Bedford. May 22. Fowler, Huntingdon
 LAWSON, WILLIAM HENRY, Portland st, Soho. Licensed Victualler. May 1. Crosin and Rivolta, Southampton st, Bloomsbury
 MANSEL, REV. JAMES TEMPLE, Clifton, Bristol. April 8. Salmon Bristol
 RICHARDSON, ABRAHAM, Brookbottom, Derby, Shoemaker. June 1. Hibbert, Hyde
 RUMBLE, REBECCA, Rochester, Kent. April 19. Bassett, Eastgate, Rochester
 SCRIVEN, JOSEPH, Aberford, York, Butcher. May 1. Foster and Paper, Ropergate
 SLAMAKER, JANE, Sydney st, Brompton. May 24. Slick, Guilford st Russell sq
 SMITH, THOMAS, Manchester, Grocer. May 1. Rylands, Manchester
 STANHOPE, PHILIP SPENCER, Harley st. April 30. Valpy and Co, Lincoln's-inn-fields
 STEVENS, WILLIAM, Brighton, Esq. May 10. Harding, Harewood sq, Marylebone
 STRONG, ELEANOR, Beaumont rd, Hornsey Rise. May 1. Keen and Rogers, Knightbridge st, Doctors'-commons
 WARD, EDWARD, Old Corn Exchange, Mark lane, Esq. April 20. Hunts and Co, New sq, Lincoln's-inn
 WAGHMAN, CHARLOTTE, Lincoln st, Mile End rd. May 20. Pendergast Commercial rd
 WHITHAM, JOHN, Ashbourne, Derby, Gent. May 1. Holland and Rigby, Ashbourne
 WILLIAMS, EVAN PIERCE, Denbigh, Gent. April 20. Lloyd and Roberts Ruthin
 WOOD, ELLEN, Bath st, City rd. May 10. Davis and Co. Coleman st WOLLAFTON, JOHN, Gent, Fenge, Surrey. May 7. Ludsay and Co, Basinghall st

[Gazette, Mar. 28.]

New Orders, Etc.

READERSHIP IN ROMAN LAW.

The *Gazette* of March 26 contains a statute made on March 20 by the University of Oxford Commissioners, appointed under the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge Act, 1877, concerning a readership in Roman law, founded and endowed by All Souls' College.

The statute provides that until the Regius Professorship of Civil Law shall fall vacant, or until the existing Regius Professor shall, by his own consent, become subject to any statutes for the future regulation of that professorship and the duties of the professor, which may be made by the University of Oxford Commissioners, a reader in Roman law shall be appointed from time to time for successive periods of three years; that the reader shall be elected by the Regius Professor of Civil Law; t

Chichele Professor of International Law and Diplomacy; the Corpus Professor of Jurisprudence; the chairman for the time being of the Council of Legal Education appointed by the Inns of Court in London; a person to be nominated by the Warden and Fellows of All Souls' College, with a view to each election; and the reader shall receive annually the sum of four hundred pounds, to be paid to him by the Warden and Fellows of All Souls' College.

NORTHERN CIRCUIT.—SPRING ASSIZES, 1880.

The commissions for holding these assizes will be opened at Carlisle on Thursday, the 8th; at Manchester, on Saturday, the 10th; and at Liverpool on Saturday, the 17th of April respectively.

There will be no civil business at Carlisle. The court will sit on Friday, the 9th of April, at eleven o'clock. At Manchester and Liverpool there will be both civil and criminal business.

In pursuance of "The Rules of the Supreme Court, December, 1879," causes may, at any time after notice of trial has been given, be entered for trial in the district registry of the city or town where the trial is to be had, or with the associate at the assize town as heretofore.

The general entry of causes at Manchester and Liverpool will commence immediately after the opening of the respective commissions, and will close at nine o'clock the same evening.

On entering a cause, two copies of the pleadings must be lodged, one for the use of the judge and the other for the associate.

The court will sit at Manchester and Liverpool respectively on Monday, the 12th, and on Monday, the 19th of April, at eleven o'clock.

Special jury causes will be taken at Manchester on Wednesday, the 14th, and at Liverpool on Wednesday, the 21st of April, at the sitting of the court, unless it shall otherwise order.

A list of causes for trial each day (except the first) at Manchester and Liverpool, will be exhibited in the corridor of the court and in the library.

By order of the judges,
 T. M. SHUTTLEWORTH,
 Clerk of Assize and Associate.

Office of Clerk of Assize, Preston.

March 25, 1880.

The associate's fees must be paid in judicature stamps.

To avoid correspondence and delay solicitors are requested to apply to Mr. Joseph Bradley, the deputy associate, for their certificates, during the assizes, and afterwards to him at his office in Ormskirk.

Court Papers.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON

Date.	COURT OF APPEAL.	MASTER OF THE ROLLS.	V.C. MALINS.
Monday, April 12	Mr. Pemberton	Mr. Koe	Mr. Merivale
Tuesday 13	Ward	Clowes	King
Wednesday.... 14	Pemberton	Koe	Merivale
Thursday 15	Ward	Clowes	King
Friday..... 16	Pemberton	Koe	Merivale
Saturday..... 17	Ward	Clowes	King
	V. C. BACON.	V. C. HALL.	Mr. Justice Farr.
Monday, April 12	Mr. Cobby	Mr. Teesdale	Mr. Leach
Tuesday 13	Jackson	Farrer	Latham
Wednesday.... 14	Cobby	Teesdale	Leach
Thursday 15	Jackson	Farrer	Latham
Friday..... 16	Cobby	Teesdale	Leach
Saturday..... 17	Jackson	Farrer	Latham

COURT OF APPEAL.

LIST OF APPEALS FOR EASTER SITTINGS, 1880.

APPEALS FROM THE CHANCERY DIVISION.

1879.

For Hearing.

Ashworth v Munn app of Missionary Society & ors V C M.—Oct 31 (part heard March 23 by Lords Justices James, Brett, and Cotton)

Tamplin v James app of debt from L J Baggallay for V C M—May 1 (S O by order)

In re The Trade Marks Act—Ex parte Rotherham app of Registrar of Trade Marks V C B—May 3 (S O by order)

In re Alven, decd Burrows v Loveband app of Richards and Wife V C H—June 2 (S O by order)

The Singer Manufacturing Co v Loog app of debt V C B—July 26 (Order to be produced)

The Saffron Walden Second Benefit Building Society v Rayner app of debts Hardy and anr V C B—July 30

Lawrie v Lees app of debt V C H—Aug 2

Jewitt v McHenry app of debt M R—Aug 4 (S O till April 20)

In re Jennings, decd, Gordon v Jennings app of plt M R—Aug 6 (Order to be produced)

Dicks v Brooks app of plts V C B—Aug 19

In re H C Green, decd, Green v Green app of debt Ellen Simpson V C M—Aug 19 (S O till April 30)

Fellows v Hanbury Hanbury v Fellows app of debt Hanbury V C B—Aug 20 (S O till April 13)

In re Wheel Unity Wood Mining Co, lmd, & Co's Acts app of Chynoweth from Vice-Warden of the Stannaries—Sept 2

In re Wm Fletcher, decd, Simpson v Fletcher app of debts from V C of County Palatine of Lancaster Oct 16

Pugh v Golden Valley Ry Co app of debts Fry, J—Nov 1 (S O till April 12)

In re Riddell, decd, Leigh v Patten app of J Shawcross V C H—Nov 7

In re Young, decd, Young v Dollman app of debt A W Dollman V C H—Nov 8

In re Tootal's Estate, Hankin v Kilburn app of M C Kilburn V C B—Nov 21

Kinloch v Secretary of State for India app of debt V C H—Nov 21

Barrett v Beal app of C H Collette & anr V C H—Nov 24

Tolson v The No 4 Railway Hotel Benefit Building Society app of plt V C B—Nov 29

In re Thompson, decd, Herring v Barrow app of plts V C H—Dec 6

Massam v J W Thorley's Cattle Food Co app of plts V C M—Dec 9

J W Thorley & Co v Massam app of debts V C M—Dec 10

In re Stephens, decd, Harris v Dalton app of debts V C B—Dec 13

Swansea Improvements and Tramway Co v The County Roads Board for Glamorganshire app of plts Fry, J—Dec 13

Atlantic Mutual Insurance Company v Huth app of debts (Except Ivaneich and anr) M R—Dec 16

Attorney-Gen v Tomline app of debt Fry, J—Dec 23

In re The Wigan Rolling Mills Co, lmd and Co's Acts app of Smethurst's executors from V C of County Palatine of Lancaster—Dec 29

Nicholson v Vestry of Mile End Old Town app of debts V C M—Dec 31

1880.

Harris v Morris app of plt from V C of County Palatine of Lancaster—Jan 2

New Appeals.

Knight v Pursell app of plt Fry, J—Jan 12

Smith v Anderson app of debt M R—Jan 14

In re Clark, decd Maddick v Marks app of debt V C B—Jan 16

Maxwell v Watkins app of debt Fry, J—Jan 17

Matthews v Antrobus app of plt V C H—Jan 19

Cummins v Fletcher app of National Provincial Bank V C H—Jan 28

In re Hartry, decd Halson v Hartry app of debts V C B—Jan 28

Williams v Meekin app of plts V C M—Feb 2

Rolls v Vestry of St. George the Martyr, Southwark app of plt M R—Feb 6

Webster v British Empire Mutual Life Assurance Co app of debts M R—Feb 19

Tottenham Local Board of Health v Rowell app of plt V C M—Feb 19

Taylor v Grange app of plts Fry, J—Feb 21

Ponsonby v Longbourne app of debts Longbourne & anr V C B—Feb 23

In re Hindle, decd Megson v Hindle app of plt M R—Feb 26

Markwick v Hardingham app of debts V C H—Feb 28

In re Wildbore v Expte Met Bd of Works app of Bruce & Clark V C H—Mar 6

Union of French Wine Growers, lmd v Brown app of debt Fry, J—Mar 6

Laughton v Rylands app of debts V C B—Mar 10

Woodgate v Watson app of debt Fry, J—Mar 16

Elliot v Dearsley app of debt C F Webb, from part of order on f c Fry, J—Mar 16

Vernon v Vestry of St. James, Westminster app of debt V C M—Mar 19

Lloyds v Harper app of debts Fry, J—Mar 24

Wynne v Bulmer app of plt Fry, J—Mar 25

From Orders made on Interlocutory Motions in the Chancery Division.

1879.

In re The North Yorkshire Iron Co app of liquidator V C H—Aug 8 (part heard Dec 10 by Master of Rolls and Lords Justices James and Brett) (under compromise)

In re Wolsingham Park, & Co app of John Burrell V C B—Mar 7 (part heard Mar 17—S O with liberty to apply to restore)

Dicks v Brooks app of plt V C B—Dec 3 (S O till app for judgt comes on)

1880.

In re Stapleford Collieries Co lmd app of B L Barrow V C B—Feb 3 (S O till Apr 28)

In re Arnold, decd, Arnold v Arnold app or purchaser of lot 18 M R—Feb 17

Kinsman v Jackson app of plt M R—Feb 21

In re The Canadian Land Reclaiming and Colonizing Co app of Coventry & anr M R—Feb 23

Groaves v Toffield app of plts M R—Feb 24

In re Manchester & Milford Ry Co app of Cambrian Ry Co V C H—Mar 5

Ward v Eyre app of debt Eyre M R—Mar 6

In re Bullivant, decd, Woolrych v Williams app of debt V C H—Mar 10

In re Arbitration of Davey v Ry Pas Assn Co app of Messrs Davey & Kemp V C H—Mar 11

In re Morton & Hallett, V & P Act, 1874 app of purchaser M R—Mar 15

Nives v Nives app of plt V C B—Mar 15

Fryor v Fryor app of plt V C B—Mar 19

In re Morgans & Lloyd app of Morgans M R—Mar 25

In re Great Australian Gold Mining Co app of C Appleyard V C H—Mar 25

March v Martin app of C A S Conybeare V C M—Mar 25

Thompson v Wilding app of plts V C M—Mar 25

Republic of Costa Rica v Strousberg app of debt V C M—Mar 25 (fixed for April 7, by order)

FROM THE QUEEN'S BENCH, COMMON PLEAS, AND EXCHEQUER DIVISIONS.

For Judgment.

The Queen v The Swindon New Town Local Board (QB, Crown Side) appl of debts from order of L C J of England and Mr Justice Mellor, affirming order of sessions (o a v Dec 6—present Lord Coleridge and Lords Justices Brett and Cotton)

Saramanga & Co v Stamp & anr (C P) app of debts from judgt of Mr Justice Lindley after trial (o a v Dec 16—present L C J of England and Lords Justices Bramwell, Brett and Cotton)

Sullivan v Mitcalfe (C P) app of debt Peele & Brown from Mr Justice Grove heard Feb 19 by Lords Justices Bramwell, Baggallay and Thesiger stand over to amend costs of amendt to be disposed of the Court of Appeal

For Hearing.

1876.

Mayor, & Co, of London v London Joint Stock Bank (CP) app of debt from Lord Coleridge Grant & anr v The Banque Franco Egyptienne & anr (CP) app of the Banque from judgt on demrs (April 6 at Lincoln's Inn, by order)

Moscrop v Newbold & ors (Exch) app of debts Grime & anr from judgt of L J Thesiger at trial—Feb 25

Moscrop v Newbold & ors (Exch) app of debt Newbold from judgt of L J Thesiger at trial—Feb 25 (S O till after decision in Exch)

The Queen v Rev A Wilson and ors (Q B, Crown Side) app of prosecutor from order of L C J of England and Mr Justice Mellor discharging rule for mandamus—April 17 (pt hd Dec 6—present Lord Coleridge and Lords Justices Brett and Cotton)

Rushton v Smith Rushton v Smith (consolidated) (Q B) app of debt from judgt of L J Thesiger after jury trial—April 21 (S O till after decision in Q B Division upon rule for new trial)

Chamberlaine v Barnwell (C P) app of plt from order of Lord Coleridge and Denman and Lindley, JJ, for new trial—June 7 (fixed for April 8 by order)

J F Seymour v O D Coulson & John Prior—John Wharton, Felix Prior, & James Prior, Claimants—(Q B Crown Side) app of claimants from L C J of England and Mr Justice Mellor on app from County Court—July 1

Smith v White (C P) app of plt from judgt of Mr. Justice Lopes after trial without a jury—July 11 (S O for security)

The Queen v Sir Charles Roel (Q B Crown Side) app of H L Roberts from order of L C J of England & Justices Lush and Manisty, quashing auditors' disallowance—July 15

Rowlands v Jones (Exch) app of plt from judgment of Baron Pollock at trial—July 15

Steggall v Bennett (C P) app of plt from judgt of Mr. Justice Lindley after trial (jury discharged)—July 16

Letchford v Oldham (Q B) app of deft from judgt of Mr Justice Field after special jury trial—Aug 1
 Anderson, and anr v Oppenheimer (Q B) app of plts from judgt of Mr Justice Field after special jury trial—Aug 2
 Petition of right—Manchester South Junction & Altrincham Ry Co v The Queen (Q B) app of Suppliants from judgt of L C J of England and Lush and Manisty, JJ, on special case—Aug 4
 Mayor, &c, of Carlisle v London and North-Western Ry Co (Ex) app of plt from judgt of Baron Pollock at trial—Aug 6
 The Queen v John Mews and Josiah Oastler, Esqrs, Justices for County of Surrey (Q B Crown Side) app of deft from Mr Justice Denman and Baron Pollock—Aug 12
 Treharne v Treharne (C P) app of plt from judgt of Lord Coleridge after trial—Aug 21
 Cheshire Lines Committee v Lewis and Co (Q B) app of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Lush at trial—Aug 22
 Barber v Gregson (Exch) app of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Stephen at trial—Aug 25
 Duke of Norfolk v Arbuthnot (C P) app of deft from judgt of Lord Coleridge after trial—Aug 28
 Searle v Oliver (Exch) app of plt from judgment of Baron Pollock at trial—Oct 25
 Wade v Robinson & ors (C P) app of defts from judgt of Lord Justice Bramwell at trial—Nov 6
 Ashby & Co v Godbold & ors (Exch) app of defts Webster and Graham from rule nisi discharged by L C Baron and Sir H Hawkins—Dec 3
 Brain & anr v Thomas & ors (Exch) app of deft from judgt of L C Baron and Baron Pollock on special case—Dec 3
 Talloh v Bowerman (C P) app of deft from new trial rule discharged by Justices Grove and Lindley—Dec 5
 Henning & anr v Becker (C P) app of plts from judgt of Mr Justice Lindley after trial—Dec 9
 Long v Miller (Exch) app of plt from judgt of Sir H Hawkins at trial—Dec 9
 Bailey & anr v Ford & ors, trading, &c (C P) app of Midland Ry Co from judgt of L J Thesiger after trial—Dec 11
 Bailey and anr v Ford & ors, trading, &c (C P) app of defts Ford & Co from judgt of L J Thesiger after trial—Dec 11
 Bailey & ors v Midland Ry Co (C P) app of defts from judgt of L J Thesiger after trial—Dec 11
 Darrell v Tibbitts (Q B) app of plt from judgt of Mr Justice Lush at trial—Dec 12
 Mitchell v Homfray (Exch) app of plts from rule nisi discharged by L C Baron and Mr Justice Stephen—Dec 16
 The West India and Panama Telegraph Co, limd v The Home and Colonial Marine Insurance Co, limd (C P) app of defts from judgt of L J Baggallay after trial—Dec 29
 Young v Smith (Q B) app of plt from judgt of Mr Justice Field at trial—Dec 31
 Parsons v Evans (Exch) app of plt from judgt of Baron Pollock at trial—Dec 31

1880.

Ashdown, Trustees, &c v Inghamells (Exch) app of plaintiff from judgt of Baron Huddleston at trial—Jan 5

New Appeals.

Gooch and Wife v The Lambeth Waterworks Co (The Vestry of St. Mary's, Lambeth, 3rd parties) (Exch) app of plt from judgt of Baron Huddleston at trial—Jan 10
 Ludford v Johnson (Q B) app of plt from judgt of Mr Justice Manisty at trial—Jan 10
 Forwood v The North Wales Mutual Insurance Co limd (Q B) app of deft from judgt of Mr Justice Lush at trial without a jury—Jan 20
 Forwood v The Provincial A 1 Mutual Marine Insurance Co limd (C P) app of defts from judgt of Lord Coleridge after trial—Jan 20
 Budd & anr v Marshall (C P) app of deft from judgt of Mr Justice Grove after trial—Jan 20
 Leader v Knight (C P) app of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Denman after trial—Jan 22
 Rainbow & Wife v Juggins (Q B) app of deft from judgt of Mr Justice Manisty at trial without a jury—Jan 30
 Markwick v Wickham (Q B) app of plt from judgt of L C J of England and Mr Justice Manisty on special case—Jan 31
 Irvine & Co v Watson & Sons (Q B) app of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Bowen, after trial—Feb 2
 Barnes v Leach, since dead (Q B) app of deft from judgt of L C J of England and Mr Justice Lopes on special case—Feb 2
 Glyn, Mills, & Co v The East & West India Dock Co (Q B) app of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Field after trial without a jury—Feb 3
 Price, Hickman & Co v Hartman (C P) app of deft from judgt of Mr Justice Lindley after trial—Feb 9
 Thornton, trustee, &c v Hyman & anr, trading, &c (Q B) app from judgt of Mr Justice Bowen at trial—Feb 9
 South-Eastern Ry Co v Railway Commrs & the Mayor of

Hastings (Q B) app of Ry Commrs from judgt of L C J of England & Justices Lush & Manisty on demr—Feb 11
 Nicholson & anr trading, &c v Beazwick, Son, & Co (C P) app of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Lindley at trial without a jury—Feb 20
 Rivaz, on behalf, &c v Gerussi, Bros & Co & anr, trading, &c (Q B) app of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Field after trial—Feb 21
 The Protector Endowment Loan & Annuity Co v Gries (Q B) app of plt from judgt of Mr Justice Bowen at trial—Feb 23
 Dixon v Whitworth & Bros (C P) app of defts from judgt of Mr Justice Lindley at trial without a jury—Feb 24
 Mersey Docks & Harbour Board v Martin & Co (Q B) app of defts from judgt of L J Brett at trial—Mar 1
 Wright v Marwood (Q B) argument of rule nisi for new trial granted by Lord Coleridge and Lords Justices Bramwell & Baggallay Gordon v Marwood (Q B) argument of rule nisi for new trial granted by Lord Coleridge and Lords Justices Bramwell & Baggallay—Mar 3
 Drury, Trustees, &c v The Staffordshire Fire Insurance Co (Exch) app of plt from judgt of Mr Justice Stephen at trial—Mar 6 (S O for security)
 Thomas Castro, otherwise Arthur Orton, otherwise Sir Charles Doughty Tishborne, Bart v The Queen (Q B Crown side) writ of error upon an indictment for misdemeanour—app of plt in error from sentence dated Feb 28, 1874, by L C J of England and Justices Mellor & Lush—Mar 9
 In re An Application by the Swansea Improvement and Tramways Co against The Swansea and Mumbles Ry Co before the Ry Commissioners (Q B) app of The Swansea and Mumbles Ry Co from order of Justices Lush & Manisty discharging rule nisi for prohibition—Mar 10
 Henty & Sons v Westwood (Q B) app of deft from judgt of Mr Justice Field at trial—Mar 17
 The Queen v S B Sherwood (Q B Crown side) app of prosecutors from rule nisi for certiorari discharged by Justices Lush, Manisty, & Bowen—Mar 17
 McDonald v Cheaney (C P) app of plt from judgt of Justices Grove & Lindley on official referee's report—Mar 20
 Dixon v The Northfleet Chalk Quarries Co, limd (Exch.) app of plt from judgt of Baron Pollock at trial—Mar 20
 Holland (by next friend) v Mead & anr (Q B) app of plt from judgt of Mr Justice Bowen at trial—Mar 22
 Grainger v The Mayor, &c, of Dudley (Q B) app of plt from judgt of Mr Justice Manisty after trial—Mar 22
 Cawcutt v The Great Eastern Ry Co (C P) app of defts from new trial rule discharged by Justices Grove & Denman—Mar 22
 Mason, executrix v Nicholson, Bart (Exch) app of plt from new trial rule discharged by L C Baron & Sir Henry Hawkins—Mar 24
 Alice A. Warburton, applt v Eli Heyworth, respct (Q B Crown side) app of Alice A. Warburton from judgt of L C J of England and Justices Lush and Manisty on app from magistrate—Mar 15
 Sadler v Kimpton (C P) app of plt from judgt of Justices Grove and Denman on special case—Mar 25
 Lucas, Trustees, &c v Dieker (C P) app of deft from judgt of Justices Lindley & Lopes on special case—Mar 25
 Orтели v Ward (Exch) app of deft from order of L C Baron overruling demurrer—Mar 31
 Banner v Culehaw & anr (Exch) app of defendant Morrison from judgment of Lord Justice Brett at trial—Mar 31
 Dominy v Alston (Exch) app of deft from judgt of Mr Justice Stephen at trial—Mar 31
 Goddard v Robson (Exch) app of plt from new trial rule discharged by L C Baron & Mr Justice Stephen—Apr 1

From Orders made on Interlocutory Motions in the Common Law Divisions.

1880.

De Oleaga & Co v West Cumberland Iron & Steel Co, limd (Q B) app of plts from L C J of England and Justices Lush and Manisty—July 12 (S O for security)
 Peeters v The Metropolitan Ry Co (C P) app of deft from order of Justices Lindley and Lopes for new trial—Mar 8
 In the Matter of an Arbitration between the Brazilian Submarine Telegraph Co, limd and the Western and Brazilian Telegraph Co, limd (Q B) app of Brazilian Submarine Telegraph Co from order of Justices Lush, Manisty and Bowen appointing arbitrator—Mar 11
 Chapman & ors v Midland Ry Co (Q B) app of plts from order of Justices Lush and Manisty as to scale of costs—Mar 13
 Ward v Hall (Exch) app of deft from L C Baron and Sir H Hawkins upholding order to refer—Mar 15
 Salter & Sons v Merritt & anr (C P) app of defts from order of Mr Justice Lindley overruling demurrer to first five paragraphs of Statement of Claim—Apr 1
 In re An Arbitration between Henry Clarke and Charles Henry Green (Exch) app of C H Green from L C B and Baron Pollock discharging rule nisi to set aside award
 Barker & Co v Hemming & anr, Hemming, Claimant (Exch)

app of Claimant from Justices Lush and Manisty refusing claim to goods seized by Sheriff—Mar 25
 Heaton v Wilkinson & ors (Q B) app of pit from order of L C J of England and Justices Lush & Manisty for new trial—Mar 27
 Shoetsack v Price & Co (Exch) app of pit from order of Sir Henry Hawkins referring case back to Official Referee—Mar 31
 Jones v The Monte Video Gas Co, limd (Q B) app of defts from refusal to rescind Master's order for further discovery—Mar 31

FROM THE PROBATE, DIVORCE, AND ADMIRALTY DIVISION.
 For Hearing.
 1879.

Admiralty.

Ship Ganges—Hagerty and ors v Owners of the Steam Ship Ganges app of pits from Sir R J Phillimore—May 17
 Ship Constantine—Owners of the Alice v Owners of the Constantine app of J W Wallace, intervener, from Sir R J Phillimore—June 16

Probate.

In re The Goods of Henry Taylor, decd Taylor & Hodgson v Taylor app of pits from order of Sir R J Phillimore discharging rule nisi for new trial—July 7

Admiralty.

Ship Somorostro—Laing v Wilson & Co app of defts from Sir R J Phillimore (with nautical assessors)—Aug 8
 Ship Somorostro—Laing v Wilson & Co app of pit from Sir R J Phillimore (with nautical assessors)—Aug 22
 Ship Cotopaxi—Richardson & Co and ors v Owners of the Cotopaxi app of defts from Sir R J Phillimore (with nautical assessors)—Aug 11
 Ship Erasmus Wilson—Staines v Owners of the Erasmus Wilson app of defts from Sir R J Phillimore (with nautical assessors)—Sept 19
 Ship City of Manchester—The Cargo ex Moselle v Owners of the City of Manchester and Freight app of defts from judgt of Sir R J Phillimore and application of pits to vary same (with nautical assessors)—Nov 8
 Ship Hewett—Simmons & ors v The Owners of the Hewett app of defts from judgt of Sir R J Phillimore (with nautical assessors)—Nov 25
 Ship City of Mecca—Cotesworth & ors v The Owners of the City of Mecca and Freight app of defts from judgt of Sir R J Phillimore—Dec 10

New Appeals.

Ship Milanese—Owners of the Bokhara and ors v Owners of the Milanese and freight app of defts from judgt of Sir R J Phillimore (with nautical assessors)—Jan 26

Probate.

In re Jane Stratton Boyse, decd Crofton v Gautier (J S Kirwan intervening) app of deft Gautier from judgt of Sir James Hannen (S O for security)—Feb 6

Admiralty.

Ship Sir Robert Peel—National Steam Ship Co v Owners of the Sir Robert Peel app of defts from judgt of Sir R J Phillimore (with nautical assessors)—March 23

FROM THE LONDON BANKRUPTCY COURT.

In re Holdsworth	Ex parte The Guarantee Society
In re Sidebotham	Ex parte Sidebotham
In re Harrison	Ex parte Butler
In re Henley	Ex parte Henley
In re Tallerman	Ex parte Broad
In re Haynes	Ex parte National Mercantile Bank
In re Cole and anr	Ex parte Snell and ors
In re Henley	Ex parte Henley
In re Jones	Ex parte Fardon's Vinegar Co
In re Batey	Ex parte Neal and anr
In re Swana & Co	Ex parte Sir F Johnstone and anr
In re Kell	Ex parte Falk
In re Mason	Ex parte Escritt
In re Phillipin & Co	Ex parte De Chatonville and ors
In re Bunyard	Ex parte Newton
In re Bunyard	Ex parte Griffin
In re Fox & Co	Ex parte Bishop
In re Ranby	Ex parte Ranby
In re Jordan	Ex parte Symmons and anr
In re Westray	Ex parte Thompson
In re Bowes	Ex parte Jackson and ors
In re Dickinson	Ex parte Corker
In re Thomson	Ex parte McGeorge
In re Tebbutt	Ex parte Binstead
In re Clarke	Ex parte Izard and anr

In re Taylor
 In re Crick

N.B.—The above list contains Appeals set down to Thursday, April 1, inclusive.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE—CHANCERY DIVISION.

LIST OF CAUSES FOR EASTER SITTINGS, 1880.

Before the MASTER OF THE ROLLS.

Causes for Trial (with Witnesses).

Slater v Drew act. pt hd	Union Bank act & m j
London & County Banking Co v Pyle act, pt hd (2nd wits day)	(April 13)
Boddington v Hamilton act (Apr 12)	Hudson v Yorkshire Banking Co act & m f j (April 14)
Appleton v Mayor, & of Bolton act	Chubb v Kingswood & Parkfield Collieries Co, limd act (April 13)
Douglas v Hogg act (May 4)	Stoddart v Warwick & Warwickshire Benefit Building Society act & m f j
Yates v Mitchell act	Dew v Fleming act
Smith v Frodsham act (S O)	Woodin v Evans act
Butler v Frodsham act (Apr 20)	Yorkshire Banking Co v Hudson act (April 14)
Reid v Church act (Apr 20)	Barnard v Barnard act
Wooltorton v Ellis Ellis v Wooltorton act	Barker v Puddiphatt act (April 19)
Burrard, Bart v Calisher act	Southard v Pope act
Frost v Ward Ex parte Sykes & anr, adjd sams act down with wits acts by order	Coppin v Derner act
Henry v Bernales act	Skipton v Rowley act
Bentley v Atkinson act (Apr 19)	Blades v Halls act
Bennett v Needham act	Goldberg v Rosenthal Rosenthall v Kennit act & m f j
Blake v Blake act & m f j	In re Eyles, decd Boarder v Eyles act
Caton v Davison Davison v Caton act	Nicholls v Mathias act
Gibson v Key act (Apr 13)	Miller v Solomon act
Bevan v Scott act	Marshall v Ballard act
Edleston v Lloyd Lloyd v Edleston act	Jackson & Co v Wood Bros act
Day v Coward act	Official Liquidator of Economic Building Society v Swift act
Hartley v Frampton act (Apr 27)	Oakey v Hoar act
In re Crawshaw, decd Coates v Wakefield and Barnsley	

Further Considerations.

Gray v H M Attorney-Gen 2nd fc	Oats v Vivian f c
Johnson v John Hall & Co f c	In re Stephens, decd Downing v Bennett 2nd f c (April 12)
Moody v Martin f c	Williams v How f c
In re Porter, decd Porter v Noakes f c (April 16)	In re Rawlins, decd Rawlins v Rawlins f c
Musgrave v Wilson f c	Attorney-Gen v Bevington f c
Brake v Barber f c	In re Simpson, decd Williamson v Simpson f c
In re Carrington, decd Carrington v Carrington f c	
In re Wilson, decd Wilson v Russell f c	

Demurrers.

Alford Gas Co v Holmes demr of deft W C Holmes

Causes for trial (without witnesses).

Jones v Wilkinson act	In re Filber, decd Filber v Filber act (short)
Longbourne v Vickerman act (May 1)	Jones v Lowe m f j (Manchester) short
West v West act (S O)	In re Chaplin, decd Dormer v Chaplin m f j (Leicester) short
Freeland v Met District Ry Co act	In re Ede, decd Ede v Wells m f j (short)
In re Fleming, decd Fleming v Fleming act	Bowen v Summers m f j
Isle of Thanet Gas Light Co v Davis act (S O)	Bailey v Reed act
In re Stevens, decd Patching v Stevens m j	Sheffield v McLaren m f j (short)
In re Carter, decd Carter v Carter act (May 1)	School Board for London v Jones m f j (short)
Rooke v Pym act & m j	Ru-ell v Eades m f j
Emma Silver Mining Co v Grant m j (April 19)	In re Allum, decd Allum v Allum act
Davis v Isle of Thanet Gas Light Co act	Bailey v Edmunds m f j (short)
Booth v Booth act	Rayner v Preston act
In re Sargent, decd Higgs v Limbrick act	In re Makepeace decd Makepeace v Marsh m f j (short)
Eastwood v Beckett & Co act & m f j	Jamesson v Maskell act
Birmingham Estates Co, limd v Smith act	Turnbull v London Seed Co, limd act
Dodge v Brown act	Brownlow v Hunt act
	McLain v Barrett m f j

Adjourned Summonses.

Hammerton v Smith (S O to come on with In re Dainty)
In re Hull & County Bank (Burgess's case)
In re Dronfield Coal, & Co (to cancel mortgage)
In re Dronfield Coal, & Co (Ward's case)

Herring v The Erie Railway Co
Crown v Ladd
Palmer v Looker
Westinghouse v Sanders
Powell v Kindon
Drewe v Drewe (Tanner's claim)

Before the Vice-Chancellor MALINS.

Causes for trial.

Cooper v The Queen demr
Barnes v Barnes demr
Bewicke v Burdon (demr of debts Burdon and Blackett)
Bewicke v Burdon (demr of Hutton, Wilbraham, & Co)
Wilson v De Coulson act (not before May 8)
Downs v Pursell act pt hd
Lisbon Steam Tramway Co, ld v Grant m judgt
Webb v Hodgetts act, wits
Driver v Howell act, wits
Kent v Stuckey's Banking Co act & m judgt, wits
Minshall v Cooper act & m for j, wits
Walters v Lyon act, wits
Wilson v Hodgson f c (re-stored)
Wakefield v Truman, Hanbury & Co act, wits (Apr 20)
Bagenal v Theobald act
Crawcour v Salter act, wits
Donnison v People's Cafe Co act, wits
Turner v Turner act, wits
Wolferstan v Oram act, wits
Stogdon v Ernest act, wits
Davies v Jones act, wits
Greenwood v Lord f c and sums to vary pt hd (Apr 19)
De Bergue v De Bergue act, wits
Coney v Tennant f c
Wilcox v Edwards act and m for j, wits
Mason v Harris act, wits
In re Hoskins Britton v Britton act, wits
Lowe v Somers act, wits
Elliot v Wale act, wits
In re Turner Hall v Turner act, wits
Pike v Fitzgibbon act, wits
Wright v De Rhodes act, wits
Farmer v Laurence act
Lisbon Steam Tramways Co v Grant act and m f j, wits
Beckett v Attwood act, wits
In re Mortimer Grey v Bird act, wits
Hicking v Hicking act, wits
Rogers v Manley act, wits
London & N-W Ry Co v Fleetwood Local Board act
Durrans v Natl Mercantile Bank, lind act, wits
In re Bone Adams v Bone f c & sums to vary
Legg v Smith act & m f j, wits
Governors of Alleynes' College, Dulwich v London B & S Coast Ry act, wits
Eves v Sherley f c
Sheehan v Great Eastern Ry Co act, wits
In re Dennis Harding v Dennis f c & sums to vary
In re Green Jowitt v Green f c
Astley v Micklethwait f c
Jolley v Simmons act wits
In re Wallis Bonney v Wallis act
In re Tibbits Hewitt v Tibbits act wits

Life Association, Scotland v Hoare act wits
In re Hodgkinson Hodgkinson v Badder f c (short)
In re Funnell Funnell v Ashurst m f j
In re Gosman f c of petition of right
In re Williams Williams v Morton act
Winton v Davies m j
Harpham v Shacklock act & m f j
In re Charman Charman v Charman act
In re Robinson Robinson v Robinson m j
In re Farnham Fowke v Farnham act
Gasquet v Ambrose m j
In re Raines Raines v Raines f c & sums to vary
In re Markham Markham v Markham f c
Williams v Hughes f c and sums to vary
In re Morgan Griffiths v Morgan act & m j
Watson v Bentley act & m j
In re Thorley Thorley v Thorley act & m j
In re Teulon Teulon v Davies f c
Switchbank v Hughes act
Patching v Barnett f c
In re Rash Raah v Rash act & m j
Williams v Jones f c
Richardson v Fox act, pt hd
Frye v Frye act
Dorin v Dorin f c
Child & Co v Thorley act wits
Thomson v Rogers f c
Allen v Davies m j
Gibbon v Croxford m j (short)
Hall v Lichfield, & Co, Brewery Co act wits
Whitmore v Farley act wits
Pugh v Jones m j (short)
Clarkson v Harrison act wits
Mosley v Kay special case
Osborn v Osborn Osborn v Osborn f c (short)
In re Nowell Nowell v Nowell m j (short)
In re Russell Russell v Smith f c
Ritson v Elliott f c
In re Wheeler Rooke v Wheeler f c
In re Schnell Schnell v Ross m j (short)
Turner v Hill act wits
Welman v Welman act
Groves v Brown a & m f j wits
In re Golding Golding v Golding m j
Metcalf v Webster f c
Windt v Coventry m j
In re Redhead Andrews v Andrews act
Pead v De Meschin act
Thompson v Martin act & m j wits

Before the Vice-Chancellor Sir JAMES BACON.

Causes for trial.

Bagot v Easton Easton v Johns v James act
Bagot C F A H Bagot Fellows v Turner act wits, pt hd
v Easton act
Stone v Spartali act
Stone v Slipless Roadway, & Co act
Chadwick v Chadwick act wits
Brown v Jackson act wits (not before Apr 12)
Duke of Roxburgh act restored
In re Evered, deod Snelling v Evered act wits

Riley v Western District Bank act wits
Viney v Henwood act wits
Green v White act, wits
Harrison v Steel, Tozer & Co act and sums, wits pt hd (Apr 13)
Redfearn v Israel act, wits
Bolton v Ferro act
Walker v Grime act

Transferred from Vice-Chancellor HALL by Order of 10th November, 1879.

Hodgson v Williamson a, wits
Brown v Walker act, wits
In re Chadwick, deod Taylor v Emmet m f j
Owens Co v Klinwort a, wits
Bentley v Pelican Life Insurance Co act
In re Conolly Conolly v Conolly act
In re Matthews Matthews v Matthews act, wits
Hammersley v Staffordshire Potteries Waterworks Co act, wits
Holmes v Selby act, wits
In re Petn of Right of J Young and J Grindrell wits
In re Lockhart, deod Jones v Lockhart act
Ormathwaite v Kingston, & Co, Ry Co act
French v Mugleston act, wits
Ramsay v Rice act, wits
In re Roe Morgan v Roe act, wits
Nobels, & Co v Jones, Scott, & Co act, wits
Hudson v Crowther act & m f j
Casper v Green act
Brown v Critchfield issue for trial
Ranson v Patton act & m f j
Bradford District Bank, lind v Smith act & m f j
In re Stansfield Stansfield v Stansfield sp c
Sedgwick v Speller act, wits
Wood v Kay act, wits
Butler v Johnson act, wits
Hathaway v Hathaway a, wits

Keen v Lawes act, wits
Basham v Hutchinson Hutchinson v Basham cause
Basham v Hutchinson act restored
Waddell v Brown act wits
Ward v Barnes m j
Bence v Bence act
Colegrave v Hales act wits
Tebb v Prince act wits
Fothergill v Farley act wits
Hester v Hester m j wits
Lyon v Tweddell act wits
Griffiths v Richards act wits
Robson v Robson act wits
Smee v Holyland act wits
In re Barker, deod Barker v Robson act & m j
Gordon v Orchard act
Warner v Mosses act
In re Robson Emley v Davidson f c & sums to vary pt hd
In re Tutin Tutin v Tutin act
In re Palin Palin v Brookes act wits
Serff v Luff act wits
Smith v Smith act wits
Barnett v Clark m j
Sidwell v Kienack act wits
Williams v Groom m j
In re Lister Smith v Lister m j
Gladstone v Crofts m j (short)
In re Ladley Huss v Crawford act wits
Liddell v McDougall act wits
Hughes v Wilson m j

Before the Vice-Chancellor Sir CHARLES HALL.

Causes for trial (with witnesses).

Gordon v Bernales act
Kelly v Scott act
Allen v Wade act
Pickworth v Wade act
Wilcox v Roadhead act
Parry v Parry act
Beercoft v Henry act
Henry v Beercoft act
Mardon v Antrobus act
Reus v Lever act
In re Rhodes Rhodes v Rhodes act
In re Smith Loughnan v Wornan act
Cavaliero v Cavaliero act
Mathews v Mathews act
Hills v Hall act
Llanover v Homfrey act
Phillips v Llanover act
Rowlands v Williams act
Edwards v Lovering Lovering v Edwards act

Hawkes v Sayer act
White v Mitchell act
Lovesey v Smith act
Mighell v Bennington act
Webster v Whewell act
Tann v Heale act
Peters v East Grinstead Ry Coast
Ballard v Shutt act
Glover v Billups act & m f j
Nevill v Scallia act
In re Bliss Whitney v Bliss act
Duke v Littleboy act
Wells v Tennant act
In re Bardo Bardo v Bardo act
Addenbrook v Tossell act
Packer v Packer act
Franklin v Cole act
Anker v Franklin act

Further Considerations.

In re White, Palmer v Davis f c
In re Parry, Parry v Parry f c
Butler v Cubitt f c
Roach v Haynes f c & sums

To vary
James v Green f c
In re Boxer, Moore v Boxer f c
Doering v Doering f c
Cook v Whish f c

Carpenter v Loram f c
In re Crawshaw, Crawshaw v
Stratford f c
Robinson v Robinson f c
Gurney v Walters f c
Jackson v Jackson f c
In re Bolton, Davidson v Mat-
thewson f c
Cook v Lound f c

In re Hobson, Forster v Hob-
son f c
In re Duck, Duck v Duck f c
Leggott v Rutherford f c
In re Barnard, Barnard v
Barnard f c
Parry v Shute f c
Howland v Medwin f c

Demurrers.

Cooper v Stevens demr
In re Bull, Woolley v Hull
demr of G. Hull
In re Bull, Woolley v Hull
demr of defts Woolley
Searle v Jones demr

Causes for Trial (without witnesses).

Republic of Peru v Ruza m
for d (not before Apr 23)
Bedford v Isaacs act
Dowdeswell v Dowdeswell
cause
Batchelor v Batchelor act &
motn for judgt
Huggons v Tweed act
Price v Hyde m f j pt hd
(S O till spoken to)
Kynaston v Bodman act
Saunders v Johnson act
restored
In re Fleetwood Sidegraves v
Brewer act
Cowan v Redman act
In re Garrett France v
Garrett act
Davies v Hughes m f j
In re Taylor Taylor v Taylor
sp c
In re Howland Reynolds v
Howland sp c
James v Capital & Counties
Bank issue for trial
Cooper v Stevens act
In re Anderson Dewey v
Anderson m f j
James v Gills act
Pemberton v Dillwyn act
Adams v Adams act
Cross v The Credit Co m j
In re Welch Welch v Welch
m j
Edwards v Holcombe m j
Sully v Hendrey m j
Warburton v Warburton act
Manchester, &c, Banking Co
v Wrigley act

Before Mr. Justice FRY.

Causes for Trial.

Mather v Mather act
Johnson v Cambrian Rys Co
act wits (not before May)
In re Alldin, decd Alldin v
Price act, wits
In re Higginbottom, decd
Higginbottom v Platt act &
m f j wits
Cutler v Cornish act, wits
Owen v Cook act, wits

Causes transferred from Vice-Chancellor BACON, for trial or
hearing only, pursuant to order dated 26th December, 1879.

Strelly v Pearson act, wits
(not before April 16)
Willats v Met Board of Works
act, wits (not before May
6)
Chaplin v Cave act, wits

Causes transferred from Vice-Chancellor BACON, for trial or
hearing only, pursuant to order dated 31st January, 1880.

Thomas v Prosser act, wits
Studdholm v Brown act, wits
Jones v Williams act, wits
Robinson v Edwards act,
wits (not before April 13)
Lutton v Alt act, wits (not
before May 31)
Lloyd v Anglo-German, &c,
Co issue for trial, wits
Bird v Ecclesiastical Commis-
sioners for England act,
wits
Hogg v Muter act wits
Nobels Explosive Co v Vivian

and Co act, wits Nobels
Explosives Co v Whittle
act wits (not before April 13)
Heathcote v Stainer act wits
Connery v Sawyer act wits
Wilson v Withworth act
wits
Smith v Meux act wits
Alwen v Beloe a, wits (not
before April 23)
Wakefield, &c Bank v Rhodes
act wits (not before
April 13)

Inglefield v Hughes act
wits
Milward v Stanley act wits
Milward v Williams act
wits
Thomas v Williams act wits
Anderson v Bardford a wits
(not before April 26)
In re Bewicke Baker v Bell-
man act wits
Newton v Nock a wits (not
before April 30)
Harding v Williams act
wits
Stogdon v Ingpen act wits
Leaven v Le Gros act wits

Causes transferred from Vice-Chancellor Bacon for trial or
hearing only, pursuant to order dated February 24, 1880.

Kimber v Loe act & m f j,
wits
In re Twemlow Huber v
Twemlow act, wits (not be-
fore May 7)
D'Arcy v Willis act wits
Waller v Tanqueray act wits
Holloway v Heath act wits
Arkwright v Newbold act
wits
Attorney- Gen v Humphrey
act wit (not before April 13)
Ballard v Marsden act & m f j
wits
Werdig v Isaacs act wits
In re Brooke Bulmer v
Brooke act & m f j, wits
Balding v Scottish & Institu-
tion act wits
Jefferys v Eason act wits
Marsden v Sambell act m f j
j, wits
Dallas v Baghott act wit
Ranis v Buxton act wit
N.B.—The above list contains causes set down to April 1
inclusive.

CAUSE LIST FOR EASTER SITTINGS, 1880.

QUEEN'S BENCH DIVISION.

NEW TRIAL PAPER.

FOR JUDGMENT.
Rushton v Smith
Chapman v Gt Western Ry Co
Same v London and N Western

By Co
Holroyd v Greaves & anr
Bowen v Hall

FOR ARGUMENT.
Michaelmas Sittings, 1879.

Manchester, Turner v Galloway

Lush, J

Hilary Sittings, 1880.

London, Roberts v Breffitt, sued,
&c Field, J
Middlesex, Keith v National India-
rubber Co Field, J
Newcastle, Richardson v Arm-
strong, pt hd Lush, J
Leeds, Eagle v Tilley Lush, J
Stafford, Dunn v Norwich & Lon-
don Accident Insurance Co Manisty, J
London, Protector Endowment
Loan & Annuity Co v De Beau-
chesne Bowen, J
Stands over.
(Motion for judgment.)
Warwick, Houghton v Williamson
L C Justice
(Motion for judgment.)

Derby, Wake v Redfern & ors
L C Justice
Lincoln, The National Provincial
Bank of England v Glover
L C Justice
Lincoln, Glover v Spratling
L C Justice
Warwick, Houghton v Williamson
L C Justice
(Motion for judgment.)
Middlesex, Francis v Ham-ber
L C Justice
London, Mason & Son v Lindsey
Manisty, J
To be argued with Defendant's
Motion for Judgment.

SPECIAL PAPER.

FOR JUDGMENT.
Easton & anr v Blythe and Tyne
and North-Eastern Ry Co
FOR ARGUMENT.
Gt Western Ry Co v Sirhowy Ry

Co Special case to be argued
before two judges
Hornby v Cardwell (Hanbury 3rd
Dem

CROWN PAPER.

Middlesex, The Queen v Webber &
ors, Aldermen of the Town of
Falmouth
Lancashire, The Queen v Hutchins

CROWN SIDE.
ENLARGED RULES.
First Day.

In the matter of an arbitration
between Thomas Clayton and
Edward Evans Green's order
Show cause
The Queen (ex parte Wyatt) v The
Licensing Justices of the Lathes
of Sutton and Hone
Solicitor-Gen
The Queen (ex parte Pratt) v The
Sheppey Gas Co

Wisch—Buckins
The Queen (ex parte Penfold) v
Pagham Harbour Reclamation
Co Bidder
The Queen (ex parte Granger) v
J Bather & ors, JJ
Archibald—Bosanquet
In the matter of the Rotherham,
Maasbro & Holmes Coal Co Enlis

brick's order
The Queen (ex parte McVay and
anr v Rev J Errington & L C
Shakespeare Smith—Petherham
In the matter of an Arbitration
between F Friday & ors and in
an Action between C Harrison &
Sons v F Friday & anr. Aestie's
order Showcause

C P 17 Chamblaine (S G Ashwin) v T W Wallington (Whittaker
and W) postponed SJ

C P 18 Same (Same) v J Wallington (Same) postponed SJ

Ex 19 Chesswright (R P Upton) v Wells (Gunliffe, B and D) com
SJ

Ex 20 Marshall (G M Cooke) v Lindley and anr (J Davies) postponed
SJ

C P 21 Chalmers (J Whitehouse) v Chalmers (Nelson, Son, and H)
com

C P 22 Bannatyne (Wilson, B and C) v The Western Brazilian Tele-
graph Co, lmd (Bischoff, B and B) SJ

Q B 23 Bennett (W E Baxter) v England and ors (In Person; W F
Stokes), stayed

C P 24 Candler (F T Newbould) v Austin (Morgan and Gilks), stayed

Q B 25 Procter (J Neal) v Dunham (Pitman and L), stayed SJ

C P 26 Falkmayer (Norton, R N and B) v Fothergill and anr
(Hollams, Sen and C; Field, Roscoe and Co), stayed SJ

Ex 27 Page (Hacon and T) v Kerridge (Bridges, S and Co), stayed

Q B 28 Markwick (G Thompson) v Cortis (A S Edmunds), postponed

Q B 29 Moody and anr (Lewis and Lewis) v Fisher (J Donaghe),
stayed

Ex 30 King and Co (Rollitt and Sons) v Mayor, &c, of Leaming-
ton (H Tyrrell), stayed

Ex 31 Champion (Duignan and S) v Walter (Purkis and P), com

Q B 32 McDougall (Snell and G) v Copestake (Mercer and M),
stayed SJ

C P 33 Trowlin (W R Philip) v Nunn (J D Blake), postponed

C P 34 Funge and anr (J H Child) v Same (Same), postponed

C P 35 Cuyas v Sampere (Tilleard, G and H) v MacAndrew and Co
(Kearsey, Son and H), com SJ

Ex 36 Pannell (J Goren) v Nunn (J D Blake), postponed

C P 37 MacFarland (Ashurst, M, C and Co) v Muter (Keen and R)
SJ

Q B 38 Taylor (Hurford and T) v Batten (Waltons, B and W), com
SJ

C P 39 Davies and anr (Nickinson, P and N) v Nunn (Blake and W)
stayed

Ex 40 McGregor (W. Eley) v Tinker and anr (Rollitt and Son)
stayed

Ex 41 Taylor and ors (R S Taylor and Sons) v Smith and anr
(Brandons) postponed

Q B 42 The Commissioners of the Admiralty (Hare and F) v Union
Steamship Co (Hollams, Son, and C) com SJ

C P 43 Coleman (Campbell, R and Co) v Guigues (E D Lewis)
stayed

Ex 44 Tattersall (Singleton and T) v Bedford (Bellamy and S)
stayed

C P 45 Fowler and ors (Wilson, B and C) v Alison (Thompson and
D) without jury

Ex 46 Stubbs (Yeo and Warner) v Ashton (Bennett, D and B)
stayed, SJ

Ex 47 Pearson (C Mossop) v Gardner (Field, R and Co) stayed

Ex 48 Russell and anr (Taylor, H and T) v Nunn (Blake and W)
postponed

C P 49 Dorking Grey Stone Lime Co, lmd (J Edell) v Hack and ors
(Saffery and Co) stayed

C P 50 Cowley (F Scott) v Booth (Rye and C) stayed SJ

Q B 51 Villa (M Abrahams and R) v Sant Antoine (King and P)
com

Q B 52 Henning and anr (Thompson and D) v Mills (Stimpson and
C) stayed

Q B 53 Same (Same) v Smerdon (J H Lamb)

Q B 54 Same (Same) v Dimmock (Same)

C P 55 Gask (J B May) v Nunn (Blake and W) stayed

C P 56 Watson (Lewis & L) v Tennant (Ellis M & Co), SJ

Ex 57 Taylor and ors (Paterson, S and B) v Blowes (Beaumont and
B), stayed

Ex 58 Heap (C Mossop) v Hesketh (Lewis and L), postponed SJ

Q B 59 Brice (Harrison, B and H) v Hecla Fire Insurance Corpora-
tion, Fry garnishee (Chester and Co)

C P 60 Jacobs (L Davis) v C Reynolds (C Sawbridge), com SJ

Ex 61 Isaacson (Dod and L) v Currie (Lucas and Son), stayed SJ

Q B 62 Nowell (A G Ditton) v Stocker and ors (W Justice) SJ

Q B 63 Same (Same) v Bucknall and anr (Mead and D F Hill), stayed
SJ

Ex 64 Wallis (In Person) v Quick (Asten, De Gex and H)

C P 65 Sadler and ors (Chappell, Son and G) v Nangle (Vallance
and V), stayed

Q B 66 Voss (J W Few) v Thomas (Hemslay and H), stayed

C P 67 Hooper and anr (Tilleard, G and H) v Mors le Blanche and
Co and ors (L J B Rawlins), com. without jury

Ex 68 Stace and ors (T White and Sons) v Walking and ors (Sole,
T and K)

C P 69 Tasmanian Main Line Ry. Co. (Wilson, B and C) v Clark,
and ors (Blunt, T and Co, Burchells; Hargrove and Co) SJ

Q B 70 Metropolitan Ry. Co. (Burchells) v Schleman (Harper, B and
B), without jury, pt. hd.

Ex 71 Scovell (H G Barker) v London & County Advance &c, Co,
lmd (W H Podmore)

Ex 72 Dhotson (Bordman) v Buckley and ors (Jukes and Co)

C P 73 Cooper (Hicks and Arnold) v Cooper (Pawie, F and Co)

C P 74 Williams (G E Carpenter) v Nowell (A G Ditton), stayed SJ

Chy 75 Sovereign Life Assurance Co (Campbell, R and H) v Dent
(Crook and S), postponed SJ

C P 76 Grigg (G L P Eyre and Co) v Pearce (J Godwin)

Chy 77 Hewes (Field, R and Co) v Cole and ors (Cole and J) SJ

Ex 78 Scalia (J Knight) v Dalgrains (E Tillyard)

Ex 79 Goldring and anr (B Jukes) v Headley and ors (Mercer and
M)

C P 80 Brooker and anr (G Palmer) v Beckmann (W Webb), SJ

Q B 81 Hemslay and ors (J R Tindale) v All Souls' College, Oxford
(Hayes, T and Co), SJ

C P 82 Sheather (B May) v Midland Ry Co (Beale, M B and G) SJ

Q B 83 Griffin (F C Weigall) v Keates and ors (Hillicarys and T)
SJ

C P 84 Crook (F C James) v Clark and Son (G S Warrington)
stayed

(To be continued.)

RULES STANDING FOR JUDGMENT.

The Queen v The Recorder of Bir-
mingham The Queen v Gibbon & anr, J

CROWN PAPER.

The Queen v Mayor, &c, of Fal-
mouth The Queen v Hutchins

APPEALS FROM INFERIOR COURTS.

Blamire v Ambidge Spear v Bodmin Union
Boon v Bridport Highway Bd Hordev Scott
Vestry of Paddington v Bramwell Tombs v Maerath
Stone v Faine Turner v Cie des Messageries
Whitby v Lunt Maritimes
Wadsworth v Fickles Taylor v Benion

COMMON PLEAS DIVISION: REMANET PAPER.

Compton & anr v Harrison & anr Carr (Bender & Co 3rd parties)
and the West London Extension
Ry Co
Fidley v Wilson Robson v Amer
(Motion for judgment.) Stevens & anr v Lopes, Bart
Beldam & Wife v Great Eastern Williams v Monica & anr
Ry Co Russell v McGowan & Co
Earle v Farjean Guileton v Boyd & anr
(Motion for judgment.) (Motion for judgment.)
Nowell v Williams Dieham v Worrall
Hall v Jupe (Motion for judgment.)
Taylor v McKeand & Millvain Lewis v Ward & anr
Manchester Bonding Co, lmd, v

PEREMPTORY PAPER.

In the matter of a Solicitor In the matter of a solicitor

CUR. ADV. VULT.

Chapman v Knight Burgess v Northwich Local Board

SPECIAL PAPER.

Gilmors v The Burial Board of Churchwardens of St John's Hamp-
Lambeth stead, v London & N Western
Mayor, &c, of Brighton v The Ry Co
Guardians, &c, of Brighton Gothard & ors, petrs, v Clarke &
Shippey & anr v Grey ors, resps
Chesworth v Hunt (Harrison Howell v Anthony & ors S C
claimant) Everitt

DEMURRERS.

Chamberlaine v Barnwell (stand Turnerv v Gt Western Railway Co
over) Clarke & Co v City (stand over)
Same v Wallington (stand over) Marshall v Wilson

APPEALS FROM INFERIOR COURTS.

Rogers v Blandford Bromley v Tams
Gt Eastern Ry Co v Nock Philipson v Hayle
Hill v London & N W Ry Co Hosson, approver Sutcliffe, plain-
tiff Peal, garnishee Fairman,
Williams & anr v Wynn defendant
Loc-1 Bd of Shanklin v Miller Hooper v London & N W Ry Co
Watson v Carter, Paterson, & Co Andrew v Swansea Cambrian Be-
Stapleton v Juisan nefit Building Soc
Westaway v Fladgate & anr, Simpson v Morewood & Co
exors, &c Blackley, &c, Building Soc, lmd,
Grainger v Aynsley & Co v Kirkpatrick

MIDDLESEX.—EASTER SITTINGS, 1880.

This list contains all actions entered in Queen's Bench, Common
Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions, in which notice of trial has been
given, and also all actions in the Chancery Division, in which notice
has been given of trial before a judge and jury; up to and including
the 7th of April, 1880.

LIST OF ACTIONS FOR TRIAL.

Ex 1 Hickey (Belfrage and M) v Montefiore (Lewis and Lewis),
com SJ
Q B 2 Creed (A J Murray) v Millett (Roscoe, H and S), com
Q B 3 Brown (Merriman, M and Co) v Elkington and ors (Lumley
and L), com
C P 4 Wilbraham (Chapman, T and B) v The Maritime Passengers
and Mariners Insurance Co lmd (Harrisons and Son),
stayed
Q B 5 Benjamin (J Frost) v Litten (F A Lewty), com
Q B 6 Benjamine (M Abrahams and R) v Higginbottom (F. T. Du-
bois) com SJ
Q B 7 Hallward (C B Hallward) v Blandford and ors (G J Curtis;
G H K Fisher) postponed
Q B 8 Joshua (A E Webb) v Green (Hare and F) com
Ex 9 Palmes (Lake, B and Co) v Hope and anr (Shoubridge and M)
without jury, postponed
Ex 10 Same (Same) v Beavan (Same) without jury, postponed
Ex 11 Lilwydhar Iron, &c, Co (Houghton and B) v Fearn (A S H
Jones) com
Ex 12 Gonzales, Hyass and Co (Timplin, T and J) v Mackenzie
(S Toppin) com
Q B 13 Mayer (A G Ditton) v Robinson (P W Naser) com
Ex 14 Spiller (C E Goldring) v Baum (Evans and B) postponed
Q B 15 General Share Trust Co, lmd (J S Coleman) v Baum and anr
(Same) stayed
Ex 16 Enthoven (Collette and C) v Jacobson (G M Cooke) postponed

SALES OF ENSUING WEEK.

April 15.—Messrs. GLASIER & SONS, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., Freehold and Leasehold Properties (see advertisement April 3, p. 4.)

April 16.—Messrs. FRANK LEWIS & Co., at the Mart, at 2 p.m., Freehold and Leasehold Properties, Shares, &c. (see advertisement this week p. 3.)

LONDON GAZETTES.

Bankrupts.

FRIDAY, April 2, 1880.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.
To Surrender in London.

Crate, George, Beaufort terrace, Waltham Green, Provision Dealer. Pet Mar 31. Brougham. Apr 16 at 12
Merralls, Alfred, Finnis st, Bathnal Green, Cheesemonger. Pet Mar 25. Murray. Apr 14 at 11

To Surrender in the Country.

Boyle, William, York, Builder. Pet Mar 31. Perkins. York, Apr 20 at 11
Bryant, Jonathan, Redland, Bristol, General Merchant. Pet Mar 31. Harley. Bristol, Apr 16 at 2
Fairclough, Robert Pemberton, Southport, Lancaster, out of business. Pet Mar 31. Warringer. Liverpool, Apr 14 at 12
Smith, Samuel, Wisbech, Cambridge, Auctioneer. Pet Mar 31. Part-ridge. King's Lynn, Apr 14 at 11

TUESDAY, Apr. 6, 1880.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.
To Surrender in London.

Sheridan, George Martin, Archer st, Kensington, Tea Dealer. Pet Mar 31. Brougham. Apr 31 at 11
Warner, Alfred, Mark lane, Manure Manufacturer. Pet Apr 2. Murray. Apr 28 at 11

To Surrender in the Country.

Blane, Numa, Scarborough, York, Photographer. Pet Mar 31. Wordall. Scarborough, Apr 30 at 3
Campbell, John, Oddam, Cabinet Maker. Pet Mar 25. Tweedale. Oddam. Apr 21 at 11
Grant, Edward, Exeter, Retired Chemist. Pet Apr 2. Daw. Exeter, Apr 23 at 11
Leech, Frederick, and Andrew Mann, Wolverhampton, Hardware Merchants. Pet Apr 2. Sanders. Wolverhampton, Apr 19 at 12
Philpott, Newnam George, Thwaite All Saints, Norfolk, Clerk in Holy Orders. Pet Mar 31. Cooke. Norwich, Apr 19 at 12
Thompson, John, Sheffield, File Manufacturer. Pet Apr 1. Rodgers. Sheffield, Apr 1 at 1.30

BANKRUPTCIES ANNULLED.

TUESDAY, Apr. 6, 1880.

Dove, Cuthbert Johnston, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Solicitor. Apr 1.

Liquidations by Arrangement.

FIRST MEETINGS OF CREDITORS.

FRIDAY, April 2, 1880.

Appleton, Robert, Middlesborough, Cab Proprietor. Apr 15 at 11 at offices of Robson, Linthorpe rd, Middlesborough
Atkins, Thomas, Stafford, Confectioner. Apr 16 at 3 at the Swan Hotel, Stafford. Morgan, Stafford
Barley, Joseph Ogden, Liverpool, Butcher. Apr 15 at 2 at offices of Thysser, South Castle st, Liverpool
Bateman, Edward, Oxford, Builder's Foreman. Apr 20 at 11 at offices of Malham, High st, Oxford
Burden, Edmund, Brompton rd, Tobaccoist. Apr 15 at 2 at offices of Chapman and Co, St Swithun's lane
Catcheside, Frederick Lindaman, Parson's Fee, Aylesbury, Schoolmaster. Apr 22 at 12 at offices of Fell, Rickford's hill, Aylesbury
Davis, George, Bullingham, Hereford, Innkeeper. Apr 19 at 12 at offices of Walls, St Owen st, Hereford
Davis, Joel, Carnaby st, Golden sq, Butcher. Apr 12 at 11 at offices of Lenton, Bishopsgate st. Castlin, Wormwood st
Drury, Samuel, High st, Acton, out of business. Apr 12 at 4 at offices of Marshall, Chancery lane
Dryer, Henry, Frattin, Hants, Baker. Apr 16 at 4 at offices of King, North st, Portsea
Estock, Thomas, Bolton, Lancaster, Safe Maker. Apr 15 at 3 at offices of Robinson, Townhall sq, Bolton
Edwin, Walter, Bilson, Stafford, Proprietor of the Theatre Royal. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Hall and Son, Lichfield st, Bilston
Emery, Noah, and Robert Gibson Stitt, Alwyer, Chester, Tailors. Apr 14 at 2 at the Wellington Hotel, High st, Manchester. Lawrence, Hanley
Forsyth, Rice, North Shields, Potato Merchant. Apr 20 at 3 at offices of Delebon and Co, Saville st, North Shields. Atkinson, North Shields
Fotheringham, Reuben, Knath, Lincoln, Innkeeper. Apr 9 at 12 at offices of Williams, Silver st, Lincoln

Green, James, and Henry King, New Bond st, Builders. Apr 21 at 2 at 145, Cheapside. Haigh and Agar, Gresham st
Harrison, Henry, Long Sutton, Lincoln, Farmer. Apr 14 at 11.30 at offices of Moscop and Moscop, Long Sutton
Hutton, James, East Dean, Gloucester, Woodman. Apr 12 at 4 at offices of Smith and Son, Newham
Hayman, Samuel John, Bristol, Tailor. Apr 19 at 2 at offices of Beckingham, Albion chambers, Broad st, Bristol
Hicks, Henry Herbert, Southwark st, Coffin Furniture Manufacturer. Apr 19 at 11 at offices of Fraser, Blinler House, Blinler st. Webb, Euston rd
Horgill, William, sen, and William Horgill, jun, Kirby Moorside, York, Farmers. Apr 16 at 10.30 at offices of Harrison, Kirby Moorside
Hough, Thomas, Southport, Lancashire Butcher. Apr 16 at 2.30 at the Scribbrick Hotel, Lord st, Southport. Jackson, Liverpool
Hussey, Thomas Gideon, Bow rd, China Dealer. Apr 28 at 3 at offices of Green, Vernalm bldg, Gray's inn
Jennings, George, Hockley, Surrey, Corn Dealer. Apr 12 at 11 at offices of Sadler, High st, Dorking
Jordan, Charles, Bleanston, Monmouth, Cordwainer. Apr 15 at 12.30 at offices of Dauncey, Albion chambers, Newport
Kirkby, William, Eastwick, Hertford, Farmer. Apr 18 at 11 at offices of Armstrong, Fore st, Hertford
Knaus, Theodor, Mincing lane, Commission Agent. Apr 22 at 3 at the Cannon st Hotel, Cannon st. Blaisie and Co, Leadenhall st
Langham, John, Old Basford, Nottingham, Grocer. Apr 15 at 12 at offices of Brittle, St Peter's chambers, St Peter's gate, Nottingham
Lawrence, Henry George, Tunbridge Wells, Kent, out of business. Apr 16 at 12 at the Camden Hotel, Tunbridge Wells. Andrew and Chesle, Tunbridge Wells
Leigh, Thomas, Old Ford rd, Licensed Victualler. Apr 15 at 5 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st
Lockitt, William, Oneadle, Hulme, Chester, Plumber. Apr 15 at 3 at offices of Brown and Ainsworth, St Peter's gate, Stockport
Le Maout, Auguste, Wardour st, Leicester sq, Chemist. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Vallance and Vallance, Essex st
Mead, Henry, Torriano avenue, Kentish Town, Cheesemonger. Apr 13 at 3 at offices of Harcourt, Moorgate st
Norman, William, Dorchester, Architect. Apr 15 at 3.30 at the Jubilee Hotel, Dorchester. Hanne, Melcombe Regis
Pearson, Edmund Groppe, Livermore ter, Baywater, Clerk. Apr 14 at 3 at offices of Hanbury and Co, New Bond st
Reph, James, Manchester, Costume Manufacturer. Apr 17 at 10.30 at offices of Boardman, Pall Mall, Manchester. Wigglesworth and Riggerson, Manchester
Roberts, William, Mold, Flint, Plumber. Apr 15 at 2 at the Albion Hotel, near the Railway Station, Chester. Roper, Mold
Robinson, John, Kingston-upon-Hull, Butcher. Apr 14 at 3 at offices of Singleton, Cogan's chambers, Bowdley lane, Kingston-upon-Hull
Salaman, Adolph, Great pl, Finsbury, Timber Merchant. Apr 12 at 3 at offices of Lee, Gresham bldg, Basinghall st
Sandland, William, Wayland avenue, Oakton, Painter. Apr 12 at 2 at offices of Terry, King st, Cheapside
Saunders, John, Roman rd, Barnsbury, Wholesale Fryercook. Apr 14 at 3 at offices of Hope and Co, Chancery lane
Savidge, Thomas, Highbury, Manchester, Provision Dealer. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Woodall and Marriott, Norfolk st, Manchester
Schofield, George, and Henry Schofield, Westmoreland bldg, Aldersgate st, Printers. Apr 10 at 2 at offices of Bilton, Renswrd rd, Lower Kennington lane
Short, James Henry, Halifax, Solicitor's Clerk. Apr 16 at 11 at offices of Leeming, Wexate, Halifax
Slim, James, Birmingham, Spring and Axle Manufacturer. Apr 15 at 11 at the Queen's Hotel, Stephenson pl, Birmingham. Shakespeare, Oldbury
Stubbs, James Wade, Liverpool, Licensed Victualler. Apr 16 at 2.30 at offices of Lumb, Moorfields, Liverpool
Sutherland, Kenneth Thomas, and Ernest Richmond, Manchester, Chemical Manufacturers. Apr 17 at 11 at the Wagon and Horses Hotel, Southgate, Manchester. Beutler, Manchester
Tucker, James, Drotwich, Worcester, Licensed Victualler. Apr 16 at 12 at offices of Cochet, Avenue House, the Cross, Worcester
Tyer, Alfred, Dartford, Kent, Grocer. Apr 22 at 3 at offices of Stophar and Rundle, Coleman st
Watkins, Alfred Henry, Jardim st, Camberwell, Dealer in Hardware. Apr 16 at 11 at offices of Howard and Co, New bridge st
Welch, William Henry, Manchester, Bookseller. Apr 10 at 3 at offices of Leigh, Brown st, Manchester
Whiteley, Henry, Kingston-upon-Hull, Commission Agent. Apr 14 at 3 at offices of Martinson, Exchange buildings, Bowdley lane, Kingston-upon-Hull
Wilkinson, George Goodley, Great Dover st, Borough, Manufacturer of Sheep Dipping Compton. Apr 29 at 3 at offices of Lawrence and Co, Old Jewry Chambers
Williams, Elias, Heolycue, Glamorgan, Beerhouse Keeper. Apr 19 at 12 at offices of Stockwood, Townhall chambers, Bridgend
Wyatt, Sarah Anne, Hastings, Widow. Apr 16 at 12 at offices of Phillips, Cambridge rd, Hastings

TUESDAY, Apr. 6, 1880.

Anderson, Elisabeth Jane, Brixton rd, China Dealer. Apr 13 at 3 at offices of Greening, Budge row, Cannon st
Baldry, Harry Windermere, Finsbury park Station, Auctioneer. Apr 19 at 2 at Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st. Neave, Cheapside
Barnes, John, Birkenhead, Bootmaker. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Thompson, Hamilton st, Birkenhead
Bennett, Thomas, jun, Brighton, Grocer. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Mills, New rd, Brighton
Beverley, George Alan, Silver st, Notting-hill gate, Fishmonger. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Beard and Sons, Basinghall st
Bidlake, William, Hackney rd, Old and Colermain. Apr 13 at 4 at White Swan, Coleman st. Staniland, Hare pl, Fleet st
Birkbeck, Henry, Cockermouth, Cumberland, Miller. Apr 21 at 11 at offices of Paisley, Bridge st, Workington
Bleasoe, John, Orton Longueville, Huntingdon, Innkeeper. Apr 15 at 12 at offices of Gaches, Cathedral Gateway, Peterborough
Boyd, Robert John, Accrington, Lancaster, Paviour. Apr 20 at 3 at offices of Heap, Saint James st, Accrington. Haworth and Broughton, Accrington

- Brierley, Joseph, Hopwood, Lancaster, Beer Seller. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Haslam, Market st, Bury
- Briskow, William James, Offenham, Worcester, Clerk in Holy Orders. Apr 21 at 12 at offices of New and Co, Bridge at, Eveham
- Brown, John, Derby, Miner. Apr 23 at 12 at the Crown Inn, Heanor
- Brown, William Braithwaite, Preston, Lancaster, Chemist. Apr 19 at 11 at the Shelley Arms, Fishergate, Preston. Fryer, Preston
- Brunton, Elizabeth, Darlington, Durham, Hosier. Apr 16 at 2 at the Palestine Hotel, Manchester
- Buttery, Henry, Morley, York, Grocer. Apr 20 at 10.30 at offices of Ridgway and Ridgway, Wellington st, Batley
- Chilcott, George King, Bristol, Wollen Warehouseman. Apr 20 at 3.30 at offices of Armistage and Co, John William st, Huddersfield. Richardson
- Church, William, jun, Northampton, Engineer. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Walker, Market sq, Northampton
- Clayton, Martin, Adwick-upon-Dearne, York, Farmer. Apr 15 at 3 at offices of Nicholson and Co, East parade, Sheffield. Burdakin and Co
- Coombe, Thomas, Plymouth, Confectioner. Apr 17 at 12 at offices of Shelley, Princess sq, Plymouth
- Cordon, Charles, Nottingham, Brick Manufacturer. Apr 21 at 12 at the Assembly Rooms, Low pavement, Nottingham. Watson and Wadsworth, Nottingham
- Crawley, Young, Tenter st, Goodman's fields, Carriage Builder. Apr 21 at 3 at offices of Robinson and Leslie, Coleman st. Andrews, Fenchurch st
- Creighton, Henry, Darfield, York, Butcher. Apr 21 at 4 at offices of Rideal, Chronicle chambers, Barnsley
- Davies, Thomas, Swansea, Licensed Victualler. Apr 19 at 11 at offices of Thomas, York pl, Swansea
- Dent, Clara Caroline, New North rd, Islington, Greenegrocer. Apr 12 at 3 at offices of Whitwell, King st, Cheapside
- Dickson, William, Steir's ter, Walham green, Baker. Apr 14 at 3 at offices of Pamphilon, John st, Adelphi
- Eickhoff, William, Hassard st, Bethnal green, Cabinet Maker. Apr 14 at 11 at the Union Tavern, Vivian rd, Old Ford. Hicks, Grove rd, Victoria pk
- Ellis, Ellis, Pall Mall, Auctioneer. Apr 21 at 3 at offices of Rubenstein, Regent st, Waterloo pl
- Fessey, Thomas, West Wcombe, Buckingham, Farmer. Apr 21 at 3 at offices of Reynolds, High st, High Wycombe
- Fidkin, Joseph, Aston New Town, near Birmingham. Builder. Apr 22 at 11 at offices of Powell and Browett, Ann st, Birmingham
- Francis, John James Augustus, Fusham rd, Veterinary Surgeon. Apr 16 at 2 at offices of Avelly, Clifford's inn
- Gibbings, Richard, Greenham, Berks, Tanner. Apr 17 at 11 at the Wheatheaf Hotel, Friar st, Reading. Belcher, Newbury
- Gibbon, John, Bridgend, Glamorgan, Grocer. Apr 21 at 12 at the Merchants Association, Broad st, Bristol. Rindall, Bridgend
- Gibbons, Samuel Edmund, Manchester, Insurance Agent. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Boardman, Pall Mall, Manchester. Stevenson, Manchester
- Gregory, William, Cheddar, Somerset, out of business. Apr 19 at 3 at the Railway Hotel, Weston-super-Mare. Woolaston, Wells
- Griffith, Moses, Llanfhaengele, Gwynedd, Innkeeper. Apr 29 at 11 at the Bull Hotel, Llangefni. Daw, Llangefni
- Gurrin, James Patrick, Cheapside, Woollen Warehouseman. Apr 23 at 2 at offices of Reed and Lovell, Guildhall chambers, Basinghall st
- Hall, James, Reigate, Surrey, Carpenter. Apr 20 at 2.30 at offices of Head, Bell st, Reigate
- Hamilton, James, Liverpool, Licensed Victualler. Apr 30 at 3 at offices of Rogers and Co, Corst, Liverpool. Cold, Liverpool
- Hellewell, George, Low Valley, nr Barnsley, Butcher. Apr 19 at 11 at offices of Marshall and Owensworth, Church st, Barnsley
- Hamblins, Stephen, Reading, Berks, Fancy Goods Dealer. Apr 15 at 12 at offices of Andrews and Mason, Ironmonger lane. Dodd, Reading
- Heddlie, William Sinclair, South Shields, Beerhouse keeper. Apr 17 at 11 at offices of Wawn, Barrington st, South Shields
- Hewett, James Hewitt, Gorleston, Suffolk, Carpenter. Apr 19 at 12 at offices of Dewett, Hall Quay chambers, Great Yarmouth
- Hibbett, William, Clay Cross, Derby, Tailor. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Cutts, Market Hall chambers, Chesterfield
- Hill, Dan, Gloucester, Ironmonger. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Haines, Westgate chambers, Berkeley st, Gloucester
- Hill, George, Manchester, out of business. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Fox, Princess st, Manchester
- Holmes, John Frederick, Bradford, York, Stoff Merchant. Apr 19 at 11 at offices of Hutchinson, Finedale chambers, Bradford
- Horn, James, Mile End rd, Cheesemonger. Apr 21 at 2 at the Creditors' Association, Arthur st, East. Carter and Bell, Eastcheap
- Hosson, Thomas, Derby, Grocer. Apr 20 at 3 at offices of Flint, Full st, Derby
- Ince, Joseph, Liverpool, Boot Dealer. Apr 19 at 2 at offices of Ivey, Church st, Liverpool. Lupton, Liverpool
- Jannings, Edmund, Wimbledon, Surrey, Grocer. Apr 21 at 2 at the Auction Mart, Tokenhouse yard. Duffield and Bratby, Tokenhouse yard
- Jenkins, William Henry, Bristol, out of business. Apr 16 at 2 at offices of Pitt, St John st
- Jones, Ellis Hughes, Aberystwyth, Merioneth, Draper. Apr 13 at 11 at offices of Hughes and Sons, Pier st, Aberystwyth
- Kerppel, Henry, Deptford, Kent, Manufacturing Fancy Stationer. Apr 22 at 3 at offices of Ley and Mould, Carey st, Lincoln's inn
- Lassell, James, Billericay, Essex, Licensed Victualler. Apr 21 at 4 at the White Hart Hotel, Brentwood. Brown, Basinghall st
- Lewis, James, Blyminster, Somerset, Baker. Apr 14 at 3 at offices of Cumberland, Clare st, Bristol
- Lloyd, William, Birmingham, Provision Merchant. Apr 16 at 12 at offices of Beale and Co, Waterloo st, Birmingham
- Lyons Samuel, Addison ter, Notting Hill, Cowkeeper. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Philip, Walbrook
- Manley, Michael Joseph, Nottingham, Auctioneer. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Clifton, St Peter's chambers, Nottingham
- Mason, Peter, Plymouth, Confectioner. Apr 17 at 12 at offices of Square, George st, Plymouth
- Marfoet, Benjamin, Carshalton, Surrey, Grocer. Apr 16 at 3 at the Green Dragon Hotel, High st, Croydon. Dennis, Croydon
- McEwen, Robert, Manchester, Stock Broker. Apr 26 at 3 at offices of Sale and Co, Booth st, Manchester
- Mead, James, Compton Dandon, Somerset, Farmer. Apr 17 at 12 at the Red Lion Inn, Yeovil. Waite, Yeovil
- Mortimer, Henry, Harthead, Cuckshaston, York, Builder. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Clough, Railway st, Cuckshaston
- Musgrave, Samuel, Newark, Nottingham, Farmer. Apr 19 at 11 at offices of Marshall, Chasell gate, East Retford
- Nailard, Thomas, West Grinstead, Sussex, Farmer. Apr 16 at 3 at the King's Head Hotel, Horsham. Bostock and Rawlinson, Horsham
- Needham, Edwin, Manton, Rutland, Farmer. Apr 19 at 11 at offices of Law, St Mary's pl, Stamford
- Norton, Thomas, Porchester rd, Bayswater, Builder. Apr 19 at 4 at offices of Stileman and Neate, Southampton st, Bloomsbury
- Oldfield, Joseph, Hoxton Norris, Lancaster, Joiner. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Brown and Ainsworth, St Peter's gate, Stockport
- Ongley, Walter, North Dulwich, Surrey, Manager to a Warehouseman. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Hibbert and Co, Leadenhall st. Culpepper, Brabant ct, Philipot lane
- Page, Henry, Mansfield, Nottingham, Umbrella Dealer. Apr 19 at 12 at offices of Hibbert, Clerkson st, Mansfield
- Page, Thomas, Stow-on-the-Wold, Gloucester, Butcher. Apr 16 at 2 at offices of Kendall, Bourton-on-the-Water
- Parker, John Blake, Upper st, Ilkington, Tobacconist. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of A. A. Marlow, Great Marlborough st
- Parker, Simon, Barnsley, York, Greenegrocer. Apr 21 at 3 at offices of Rideal, Chronicle chambers, Barnsley
- Parry, Daniel, Leicester, Rope and Twine Manufacturer. Apr 16 at 2 at offices of McEwen, Lloyd st, Manchester. Shires, Leicester
- Player, John, Reading, Watch Maker. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Dodd, Friar st, Reading
- Quibell, John, Newport, Salop, Mercer. Apr 17 at 11 at offices of Heade, Newport
- Read, John, Nottingham, Commercial Traveller. Apr 23 at 3 at offices of Wright and Hinks, Belvoir st, Leicester
- Reading, John William, Kingsbury rd, Islington, Builder. Apr 23 at 3 at offices of Cooper, Chancery lane
- Rogers, Isaac Knibb, Bradford, Wills, Watch Maker. Apr 16 at 11 at offices of Bartrum and Bartlett, Northumberland blg, Bath
- Savage, Saul Powell, jun, Breadstone, Gloucester, Farmer. Apr 14 at 2 at the Prince of Wales Hotel, Berhley rd, Gloucester. Cook, Gloucester
- Sherrman, Jeremiah, Northchurch, Hertford, Farmer. Apr 21 at 11.30 at offices of Bullock and Penny, Great Berkhamstead
- Short, Thomas, Wheatehead, Suffolk, Farmer. Apr 27 at 12 at the Guildhall, Bury St Edmunds. Salmon and Son, Bury St Edmunds
- Smart, Frederick, Cambridge, Hosier. Apr 19 at 12.30 at offices of Carter and Clay, Queen st, Cheapside. Ellison and Co, Petty Cury
- Smith, Gilbert, Ripley, Derby, Boot Dealer. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Flint, Full st, Derby
- Smith, Richard, Steney green, Baker. Apr 20 at 3 at offices of Slater, Morgate st, Bt. Bishopsgate at Within
- Spark, James, Heavitree, Devon, Brewer. Apr 17 at 11 at the New London Hotel, Exeter, Fryer
- Staley, Nathaniel, Swadincote, Boot and Shoes Maker. Apr 19 at 11 at the Bear Inn, Burton-on-Trent. Smith, Swadincote
- Stammers, Robert, Swilland, Suffolk, Grocer. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Mills, Elm st, Ipswich
- Stockton, Joseph, Bucknall, Stafford, Auctioneer. Apr 15 at 10 at offices of Ashurst, Albion st, Hanley
- Stratton, Samuel Edwards, Walsley rd, Butcher. Apr 21 at 2 at offices of Hall, Warwick ct, Grays Inn
- Taylor, Thomas, Rochdale, Lancaster, China Dealer. Apr 19 at 3 at the White Swan Hotel, York-shire st, Rochdale. Worth, Rochdale
- Taylor, William Joseph, Kingsland rd, Upholsterer. Apr 19 at 3 at offices of Podmore and Harte, Morgate st, Rochdale
- Thomas, Elias, Treaslaw, Glamorgan, Licensed Victualler. Apr 20 at 10 at offices of Reaser, High st, Tonypriod
- Thomas, Thomas, Carmarthen, Cabinet Maker. Apr 17 at 10.15 at offices of White, King st, Carmarthen
- Thompson, Holland, Market Rasen, Lincoln, Ironmonger. Apr 19 at 12 at offices of Durance, Mint lane, Lincoln
- Tink, James Henry, Monkton-up-Wimborne, Dorset, Farmer. Apr 26 at 3 at King's Head Hotel, Wimborne. Lee and Co, Salisbury
- Townsend, Joseph, Preston, Lancaster, Livery Stable Keeper. Apr 23 at 3 at offices of Edesdon, Winkley st, Preston
- Underhill, John Edward, Gloucester st, Fimlins, Commission Agent. Apr 26 at 10 at offices of Cooke, Gray's inn sq
- Wade, James John, Lower Thames st, Fish Salesman. Apr 16 at 4 at Mason's Hall Tavern, Mason's Avenue, Basinghall st. Kilvington, Walbrook
- Wali, Lot, Axbridge, Somerset, Coal Merchant. Apr 17 at 12.30 at Grind Hotel, Broad st, Bristol. Wade, Axbridge
- Walsh, Charles Smith, and Thomas Wain Walsh, Rochdale, Cotton Spinners. Apr 21 at 3 at offices of Standing and Taylor, King st, Rochdale
- Ward, Joseph Brown, Swansea, out of business. Apr 14 at 11 at offices of Hartland and Co, Rutland st, Swansea
- Welham, Abraham Syer, and John Newman Sparrow, Kingsland rd. Drapers. Apr 22 at 12 at offices of Elwes and Sharpe, Furnival's inn, Holborn
- Wells, William, Leeds, Solicitor. Apr 16 at 3 at offices of Billinton, Bond place, Infirmary st, Leeds
- Wilkinson, Alfred, Ra-ford, Lancashire, Salesman. Apr 17 at 10 at offices of Parker, Norfolk st, Manchester
- Wills, Matthew, Blackpool, Cotton Spinner. Apr 21 at 3 at offices of Chorlton, Brunswick st, Manchester
- Woolerton, Joseph, and George Thomas Woolerton, Barrow-upon-Soar, Leicester, Farmers. Apr 16 at 12 at offices of Goode and Clifford, Baxter gate, Loughborough
- Woolton, Thomas Broom, Ipswich, Coach Broker. Apr 17 at 12 at Pearce's Rooms, Princes st, Ipswich. Hill, Ipswich
- Wyles, Lawrence, St Ives, Huntingdon, Ironmonger. Apr 16 at 3 at the Unicorn Hotel, St Ives. Watts, St Ives

SOUND INVESTMENTS.

GRAND TRUNK RAILWAY OF CANADA.

Those who have been the most sceptical as to the future of this Railway are now among the strongest believers in it. There is ample foundation for their confidence. The traffic receipts are beginning to derive some benefit from the opening of the line to Chicago, and there is no doubt that each week will show larger and still larger increases than those to which we have recently become accustomed. As compared with the previous year, the receipts for the last two weeks show increases of £10,870 and £12,923, or 34½ per cent. and 42½ per cent. respectively. No Railway in Europe can show such progress. It is almost certain that this year the First and Second Preferences will receive their 5 per cent. interest in full, and it is therefore surprising that with the dearth of good investments the First Preference can be bought to yield 2½ per cent. There is the prospect not only of income, but also of considerable improvement in Capital value.

Totally apart from the intrinsic merits of the Railway, there is a contingency which should be steadily kept in view, viz.: the probability that the Dominion Government may desire to become possessed of this Railway, which is now really, what its name implies, the *Grand Trunk of Canada*.

The Government of Canada have £3,111,500 already invested in this Railway, which does not rank for dividend until the Ordinary Stock has received SIX per cent., and added to this their possession of the Intercolonial Railway, their purchase of the Rivière du Loup line from the Grand Trunk, and their construction of the Canadian Pacific Railway for opening up the western districts of Canada, all tend to show their desire to acquire the Railways. India has made a beginning by the purchase of the East Indian Railway, and in the cases of our other Colonies, Australia, New Zealand, and the Cape, the railways are the absolute property of the Government.

The present moment would be a favourable one for the Government. but hardly so for the shareholders, as the prospects of the Grand Trunk itself were never brighter. Delay is in all favour of the latter, as experience has shown in the acquisition of the Telegraphs and the proposed acquisition of the Water Companies by the English Government, in both of which instances not only present but prospective values have been demanded. The Dominion Government would have no difficulty in raising a loan at 4 per cent. for purchasing the Railways. Presuming that the 5 per cent. Debenture Stock would remain a first charge upon the property, the Government would only have to deal with the Preference and Ordinary Shareholders. No doubt if an arrangement could be arrived at before the close of this year, the 1st Preferences might be obtained at 100, the 2nd Preference at 90, the 3rd Preference at 70, and the Ordinary Stock at 50. These prices are by no means extravagant, seeing that not many years ago such quotations were current, when the position and prospects of the Railway were nothing like so brilliant as they are to-day.

LONDON, CHATHAM, AND DOVER RAILWAY.

Shareholders are to be congratulated on the fact that at last the strong position which is occupied by this Company is attracting the attention of a new class of investors. Those who have held on in faith for so many years are not likely to sell now, when such bright prospects are opening out. It will be remembered that when, by the aid of the proprietors, I upset the Fusion scheme with the South Eastern, it was estimated that the progress of the Chatham would have been in the proportion of 31 per cent. as compared with 69 per cent. of the South Eastern. The growth of the Chatham, however, has been largely in excess of these estimates, and I think Shareholders may fairly review their position to-day with strengthened hopes.

Apart from the steady development which is going on all over the system, I have persistently laid the greatest stress upon the importance of the connection which this Company enjoys with the Metropolitan and Northern lines, by its extension across the Thames from Blackfriars, via Ludgate to King's Cross. This section of the line runs through the very centre of the new Fruit and Vegetable Market, the foundation-stone of which was laid last month. It is almost impossible to over-estimate the benefits which this market will bring to the Chatham Company, as it will practically enjoy the command of the traffic in fruits and vegetables with Kent, "the garden of England," and the Continent.

In order to demonstrate to Shareholders at a distance the value of these connections to which I have so frequently referred, I issue with this circular a Map showing the lines within the City of London, and the position of the new City markets which they intersect.

The Preference Stock yielding 4 per cent. to a present investor, with a prospect of 4½ per cent. at an early date, is one of the cheapest dividend-paying English Railway Securities to be found. The Ordinary Stock must, of course, be regarded as a speculative investment to look up for the future.

METROPOLITAN DISTRICT RAILWAY.

It is not many years since I recommended the purchase of this stock at 22. The price now is 82, and to those who can afford to invest regardless of immediate dividend the quotation is by no means extravagant considering the prospects of the line. The Metropolitan lost a great chance when they refused to amalgamate with the District upon the terms proposed of giving £50 of Metropolitan for £100 of District Stock. The day may not be far distant when they will be glad to amalgamate upon equal terms. Such an opinion may now appear to some to be quite as wild as many regarded the recommendation to buy District Stock at 22.

ATLANTIC, MISSISSIPPI, AND OHIO RAILWAY.

The improvement in this Railway is very remarkable. The official report of the working for the month of January, shows that the net receipts amounted to 4,295 dollars, against 23,705 dollars in January, 1879, the increase being 33,900 dollars, or no less than 193 per cent. Negotiations are now taking place between the English and Dutch Committees, with a view to their deciding upon an united course of action in the interests of the Bondholders.

MEXICAN RAILWAY.

The steady growth of the traffic on this Railway continues, and various securities from the 6 per cent. Debentures to the Ordinary Shares are decidedly attractive investments. The buying of the Debenture Bonds in the market by the Company out of the subvention money received from the Mexican Government will no doubt commence immediately, and these continuous purchases must have the effect of improving the value of the Stock.

SOUTH INDIAN GOLD MINES.

The almost unparalleled success which attended the introduction of the South Indian and Indian Glenrock Gold Companies has naturally brought to the surface a multitude of Gold Mining projects from all quarters of the Globe, but the bulk of them, if not all, appeared to have received very little support from the public, as those who have hitherto been in the habit of investing in Mines have learnt by bitter experience to become discriminating, and the properties which have been offered for subscription lack one very important feature which distinguished both the South Indian and Indian Glenrock Companies, viz.: the Government brand as to their merits.

The Report of the Indian Government upon the Gold Mines of Southern India, just issued, is of deep interest to all present holders or intending investors in the shares of these two Companies, and I should advise each one to obtain for himself a copy of the Parliamentary Blue Book, as the perusal of this official document must be convincing as to the intrinsic merits of both undertakings.

The mere butterfly speculators who applied for shares simply to sell at a premium have evidently been entirely cleared off the market, which is consequently in a much more healthy condition. The fully paid shares of the South Indian and Glenrock Companies can now be bought at £3 respectively, and upon such a Report from Government they must advance considerably.

CANADIAN COPPER AND SULPHUR COMPANY.

A meeting has been called for the 15th instant, for the purpose of raising a small amount of Debenture Stock to enable the Directors to develop and work more vigorously the various valuable properties for the benefit of the Shareholders, or to grant leases of a portion thereof to responsible persons on very favourable terms. I am assured upon good authority that this Company can produce refined copper of the best quality at £50 per ton net. The lowest prices touched for this class of copper during the recent depression was £38 per ton its present value here is £75 per ton, while owing to the protective duties in Canada the last sales there realized £58 per ton net.

In view of the important discovery upon the Acton Estate and the circumstances narrated above, the forthcoming Meeting will be one of special interest to the Proprietors, and I have very little doubt that when the position and prospects of the Company are made known the £4 fully paid shares will advance in value. They are now quoted at £2 15s. to £2 17s. 6d.

TRAMWAYS.

The traffic returns are improving, and as the summer advances even better results may be expected. The popularity with which Tramway securities were at one time regarded will slowly but surely return to them, as they are a class of investment which everyone can understand. Balance-sheets are issued regularly every half-year showing the exact position of the undertakings, and Shareholders can themselves personally inspect their properties. It is surprising that there should exist a class of small investors, who, having once made the mistake of entrusting their savings to such impetuous Governments as Turkey, Peru, Honduras, San Domingo, Paraguay, &c., &c., should still cling to these Bonds, in the hope of "something turning up" to benefit them, while they could employ their dormant money in such home securities as Tramways, which, even in bad times like those through which we have recently passed, have paid a fair rate of interest. Dividends will, no doubt, increase this half-year, thus tending to the improvement in Capital values.

DUBLIN TRAMWAYS.

At last the Directors of the various Companies in this City, which is so admirably adapted for Tramways, have, in the interests of their Proprietors, entered into a preliminary agreement which will, no doubt, culminate in amalgamation. The Shareholders of all the Companies will, as a matter of course, agree to the proposal to exchange their shares for those in the Dublin United Tramways Company. All who, like myself, are well acquainted with the Tramways in Dublin, must have been impressed with the fact that in working four distinct Companies like the Dublin, Dublin Central, North Dublin, and Dublin Southern District (the latter owned by the Imperial Company), there must have been an amount of waste in administration, servants, horses, and vehicles which would, of itself, have been sufficient to pay a dividend on the total capital. Happily for the Shareholders, and equally fortunate for the inhabitants of Dublin, who are nearly all Tramway travellers, there is not the slightest fear as to the success of the scheme. The recent advance in the prices of the various shares is in anticipation of it, and any opposition to the carrying of a measure which is so manifestly to the interest of all concerned will be certain of complete annihilation.

I feel sure that the shares of the new Company, when launched, will command a good premium.

IMPERIAL TRAMWAYS.

I am pleased to be able to state that the prospects of this Company are decidedly brightening. The Dublin Southern line will, under the new Tramway combination in that City, now have a chance of development which has hitherto been denied to it. Another favourable feature is the permission granted by Parliament for the conveyance of passengers on the Corris line where steam power is used.

BORDEAUX TRAMWAYS AND OMNIBUS COMPANY.

It was fully expected that the first six miles of this Tramway system would have been opened in time for the Easter traffic, but it was found impossible to accomplish this. Nevertheless the returns from the Omnibus service alone for the four weeks ending 28th March, amounted to no less than £4,697, or an average of £1,174 per week. This Company has already in hand the revenue from 1st November last, so that when the Accounts are made up to 30th June there will be eight months' profits to distribute derived from eight months of Omnibus traffic and three months of partial Tramway traffic. In the course of the next few months there is every probability of these shares being quoted at a considerable premium. Tramways Union £3 shares, for instance, are at £7 (and they are well worth it), equal to £14 for a £10 share. There is every reason why the Bordeaux shares should be equally valuable.

I am so confident that when the Tramway lines are opened the Bordeaux Company will be one of the best paying systems on the Continent that I have secured the balance of unallotted shares in the hands of the Tramways and General Works Company, except those reserved for issue in Bordeaux, and I am now prepared to receive applications for the same at the price of £10 10s. per share, to be placed in the buyer's name, free of all expenses, and with this Circular I enclose a Form of Application which, if signed and returned to me, shall be considered in the order of receipt.

From Mr. WILLIAM ABBOTT'S CIRCULAR for April.

10, Tokenhouse Yard, London, E.C.

SCHWEITZER'S COCOATINA,

Anti-Dyspeptic Cocoa or Chocolate Powder.

Guaranteed Pure Soluble Cocoa of the Finest Quality, with the excess of fat extracted.

The Faculty pronounce it "the most nutritious, perfectly digestible beverage for Breakfast, Luncheon, or Supper, and invaluable for Invalids and Children."

Highly commended by the entire Medical Press.

Being without sugar, spice, or other admixture, it suits all palates, keeps better in all climates, and is four times the strength of cocoa thickened yet weakened with starch, &c., and is in reality cheaper than such Mixtures.

Made instantaneously with boiling water, a teaspoonful to a Breakfast Cup, costing less than a halfpenny.

COCOATINA A LA VANILLE is the most delicate, digestible, cheapest Vanilla Chocolate, and may be taken when richer chocolate is prohibited.

In tin packets at 1s. 6d., 3s., 5s. 6d., &c., by Chemists and Grocers.

Charities on Special Terms by the Sole Proprietors,
H. SCHWEITZER & CO., 10, Adam-street, London, W.C.

PAINLESS DENTISTRY.**MR. G. H. JONES,**

SURGEON DENTIST,

57, GREAT RUSSELL-STREET, BLOOMSBURY

(Immediately opposite the British Museum),

Will be glad to forward his new Pamphlet gratis and post-free, which explains the only perfectly painless system of adapting ARTIFICIAL TEETH (protected by Her Majesty's Royal Letters Patent), which have obtained five Prize Medals, London 1862, Paris 1867, Philadelphia 1876, Vienna 1873, and New York 1853.—Consultation daily free.

EDE AND SON**ROBE MAKERS**

BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench Corporation of London, &c.

SOLICITORS' AND REGISTRARS' GOWNS.

BARRISTERS' AND QUEEN'S COUNSEL'S DITTO,

CORPORATION ROBES UNIVERSITY & CLERGY GOWNS. &c

ESTABLISHED 1689.

94, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

ESTABLISHED 1851.

BIRKBECK BANK.—

Current Accounts opened according to the usual practice of other Bankers, and interest allowed on the minimum monthly balances. No commission charged for keeping Accounts. The Bank also receives money on Deposit at Three and a half per cent. Interest, repayable on demand. The Bank undertakes for its Customers, free of charge, the custody of Deeds, Writings, and other Securities and Valuable; the collection of Bills of Exchange, Dividends, and Coupons; and the purchase and sale of Stocks and Shares. Letters of Credit and Circular Notes issued for all parts of Europe and elsewhere. A Pamphlet, with full particulars, on application.

FRANCIS RAVENSCROFT, Manager.

Southampton-buildings, Chancery-lane.

AN IMPORTANT CONVENIENCE TO LAW WRITERS AND
SOLICITORS.

STEPHENS' SCARLET INK FOR STEEL PENS

This new Ink supplies the demand continually made but never before met, for a Red Ink which is unimpaired by Steel or other Metallic Pens. Steel Pens left in this ink for months do not impair the beauty of its colour, nor are the Pens in the least corroded by it. The existing Red Inks rapidly destroy Steel Pens, and lose their red colour if used with other than Gold or Quill Pens. This new colour is a very rich scarlet red of great beauty. The colour of this ink is not affected by use upon parchment, and is consequently of great value to Solicitors and Draughtsmen.

Sold in stone bottles, retail at 1s., 2s., 3s.; and Imperial Quarts of 49 ounces at 6s. each. Also in glass bottles at 6d. and 1s. each.

LONDON GAZETTE (published by authority) and LONDON and
COUNTRY ADVERTISEMENT OFFICE.

No. 117, CHANCERY LANE, FLEET STREET.

HENRY GREEN, Advertisement Agent, begs to direct the attention of the Legal Profession to the advantages of his long experience of upwards of thirty years, in the special insertion of all pro forma notices, &c., and hereby solicits their continued support.—N.B. One copy of advertisement only required, and the strictest care and promptitude assured. Officially stamped forms for advertisement and file of "London Gazette" kept. By appointment.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that Schedules of

Estates, under the charge of the Administrators-General of Bengal, Madras, and Bombay, respectively, for the half year ending 30th June, 1879, have been received, and are open to the inspection of the public in my Department of this office.

A list of sums remitted by the several Administrators-General and Official Trustees in India, previously to 1st January, 1879, for payment in this country, which remain unclaimed is also open for inspection in my Department.

J. S. OLIPHANT,

Official Agent to Administrators-General of India.

India Office, 5th April, 1880.

CLERICAL, MEDICAL, and GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

13, ST. JAMES'S SQUARE, LONDON, S.W.

City Branch: Mansion House Buildings, E.C.

DISTINCTIVE FEATURES.

CREDIT of half the first five Annual Premiums allowed on whole-term policies on healthy Lives not over 60 years of age.

ENDOWMENT ASSURANCES granted, without Profit, payable at death or on attaining a specified age.

INVALID LIVES assured at rates proportioned to the risk.

CLAIMS paid thirty days after proof of death.

BONUS.

The Reversionary Bonus at the Quinquennial Division in January, 1877 (amounting to £357,014), averaged 30 per Cent., and the CASH BONUS 30 per Cent., on the Premiums paid in the 5 years.

THE NEXT DIVISION OF PROFITS WILL TAKE PLACE IN JANUARY, 1882, and PERSONS WHO EFFECT NEW POLICIES BEFORE THE END OF JUNE NEXT WILL BE ENTITLED AT THAT DIVISION TO ONE YEAR'S ADDITIONAL SHARE OF PROFITS OVER LATER ENTRANTS.

REPORT, 1879.

The 55th Annual Report and the latest Balance Sheets rendered to the Board of Trade, can be obtained at either of the Society's Offices, or of any of its Agents.

GEORGE CUTCLIFFE, Actuary and Secretary.

REVERSIONARY and LIFE INTERESTS in Landed or Funded Property or other Securities and ANNUITIES purchased, or Loans thereon granted, by the**EQUITABLE REVERSIONARY INTEREST SOCIETY**

10, LANCASTER PLACE, WATERLOO BRIDGE, STRAND.

Established 1835. Paid-up Capital, £480,000.

If required, Interest on Loans may be capitalized.

F. S. CLAYTON, } Joint
C. H. CLAYTON, } Secretaries.

RESTAURANT PARISIEN

(LATE MADME. JANET),

10, CASTLE-STREET, HOLBORN

(OPPOSITE THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY).

First-class Luncheon-Bar for gentlemen in the neighbourhood. Public and Private Dining Rooms. Dinners for 3s. 6d., 4s. 6d., 5s. 6d., 7s. 6d., and 10s. 6d. Will be served in the Private Dining Rooms; no extra charge for room or planoforte. Choicest Wines, Beer, and Spirits.—Proprietors, DOBBS BROTHERS.

WELL-SECURED INVESTMENT OF £1,250 per Annum.

MESSES. FULLER, HORSEY, SONS, & Co.

are instructed by Executors to SELL, by PRIVATE CONTRACT, a NET RENTAL OF £1,250 per annum, arising from extensive freehold premises in a neighbourhood increasing in value, and adjacent to the railway depots, the Docks, and the River Thames. Let on lease to a most responsible firm for an unexpired term of 18 years, at the expiration of which time a considerable augmentation may be expected. Will be sold to pay 4 per cent. per annum.

For further particulars apply to Messrs. FULLER, HORSEY, SONS, & Co., 11, Billiter-square, London, E.C.

FREEHOLD BUILDING ESTATE of about 10 acres, intersected and surrounded by good roads, to be sold in one or several Lots by Private Treaty. The Estate is pleasantly situated, a short distance from the Railway Station of one of the most charming suburbs in the South of London, and is well adapted for the creation of Ground Rents on houses letting at £50 per annum and upwards. The land is quite ripe for building purposes, the first portion having been disposed of and covered with buildings.—Address, Q.Q., May's Advertising Offices, 159, Piccadilly, W.

THURGOOD & CO., Estate and Land Agents,

Surveyors and Auctioneers, Londale Chambers, 27, Chancery-lane, W.C., hold PERIODICAL SALES of Property, at the MART, the Second Tuesday in each month. Railway, School Board, Corporation, Board of Works, and other Commissions conducted. Valuations for Probate, Mortgages, &c. Estates managed.

A scale of charges upon application.

MESSES. DEBENHAM, TEWSON & FARMER'S

LIST OF ESTATES and HOUSES to be SOLD or LET, including Landed Estates, Town and Country Residences, Hunting and Shooting Quarters, Farms, Ground Rents, Rest Charges, House Property and Investments generally, is published on the first day of each month, and may be obtained, free of charge, at their offices, 50, Chancery-lane, E.C., or will be sent by post in return for two stamps.—Particulars for insertion should be received not later than four days previous to the end of the preceding month.